

**4th WORKSHOP on
Mathematical Models for Transport in Macroscopic
and Mesoscopic Systems**

WIAAS-Berlin, February 08-09, 2008

The effect of time-dependent coupling on
non-equilibrium steady states

Valentin Zagrebnov

Université Aix-Marseille II - Luminy

Centre de Physique Théorique - UMR 6207

Joint work with **H. Cornean** (Department of Mathematical Sciences,
University of Aalborg) and **H. Neidhardt** (WIAS-Berlin)

What do we like to study?

1. Two leads coupled through a quantum well: spectral analysis.
2. What is a **NESS**?
3. Time-dependent **Liouville equation** for density matrices.
4. Current formulae (**Landau-Lifschitz**, **Landauer-Büttiker**).

The model I

- In $\mathfrak{H} := L^2(\mathbb{R})$ we consider the self-adjoint Schrödinger operator (Buslaev-Fomin '62)

$$(Hf)(x) := -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{M(x)} \frac{d}{dx} f(x) + V(x)f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

with domain

$$\text{dom}(H) := \left\{ f \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}) : \frac{1}{M} f' \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}) \right\}.$$

- **CONDITIONS: (a)** The *effective* mass $M(x) > 0$ and the real potential $V(x)$ admit decompositions of the form (with $v_a \geq v_b$):

$$M(x) := \begin{cases} m_a & x \in (-\infty, a] \\ m(x) & x \in (a, b) \\ m_b & x \in [b, \infty) \end{cases} \quad V(x) := \begin{cases} v_a & x \in (-\infty, a] \\ v(x) & x \in (a, b) \\ v_b & x \in [b, \infty) \end{cases}$$

The model II

(b) The function

$$m(x) + \frac{1}{m_{a(b)}} \in L^\infty((a, b)),$$

and the *quantum well potential* : $v \in L^\infty((a, b))$.

The **quantum well** is identified with the *interval* (a, b) , (or physically, with the three-dimensional *layer* $(a, b) \times \mathbb{R}^2$).

The regions $(-\infty, a)$ and (b, ∞) (or physically $(-\infty, a) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ and $(b, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2$), are the **reservoirs**.

The model III

• Besides its mathematical attraction, the model can be also interesting for:

1. Quantum well lasers.
2. Resonant tunneling diodes.
3. Nanotransistors.

Kirkner, D.; Lent, C.: The quantum transmitting boundary method, *J. Appl. Phys.* **67** (1990), 6353-6359.

Vinter, B.; Weisbuch, C.: *Quantum Semiconductor Structures: Fundamentals and Applications*. Academic Press, Boston, 1991.

What is (our) NESS? I

Definition 0.1. We call a bounded, self-adjoint, non-negative operator ϱ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ *density-matrix operator* or *state*, if the product $\varrho M(\chi_{(a,b)})$ is a trace-class operator. Here $M(\chi_{(a,b)})$ is the multiplication operator induced in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by the characteristic function $\chi_{(a,b)}$ of any finite interval (a, b) .

Definition 0.2. We call operator ϱ a *steady state* for Hamiltonian H , if it commutes with H , i.e. if ϱ belongs to the *commutant* $\mathfrak{A}'(H)$ of the algebra $\mathfrak{A}(H)$ generated by the functional calculus associated to H . A steady state is called an *equilibrium state*, if it belongs to the *bi-commutant* $\mathfrak{A}''(H)$ of this algebra.

What is (our) NESS? II

Proposition 0.3. [RMP'04] Since $v_a \geq v_b$, the operator H is *unitarily equivalent* to the multiplication M induced by the independent variable λ in the *direct integral* of the spaces $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{h}(\lambda), \nu) \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \mathbb{C} \oplus L^2([v_a, v_b], \mathbb{C}) \oplus L^2((v_a, \infty), \mathbb{C}^2)$ where:

$$\mathfrak{h}(\lambda) := \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}, & \lambda \in (-\infty, v_a] \\ \mathbb{C}^2, & \lambda \in (v_a, \infty) \end{cases},$$

and the measure:

$$d\nu(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^N \delta(\lambda - \lambda_j) d\lambda + \chi_{[v_b, \infty)}(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^N$ denote the finite number of simple eigenvalues of H , which are all situated below the *threshold* v_b .

What is (*our*) NESS? III

- If ϱ_{st} is a *steady state* for H , then there exists a ν -measurable function

$$\mathbb{R} \ni \lambda \mapsto \tilde{\rho}_{st}(\lambda) \in B(\mathfrak{h}(\lambda))$$

of non-negative bounded operators on $\mathfrak{h}(\lambda)$ such that $\nu - \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \|\tilde{\rho}_{st}(\lambda)\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{h}(\lambda))} < \infty$ and ϱ_{st} is unitarily equivalent to the multiplication operator $M(\tilde{\rho})$ induced by $\tilde{\rho}$ via a *generalized* Fourier transform Φ which makes H *diagonal*:

$$\varrho_{st} = \Phi^{-1} M(\tilde{\rho}) \Phi.$$

- If ϱ_{eq} is an *equilibrium state* for H , then the corresponding $\tilde{\rho}_{eq}(\lambda)$ is proportional to the *identity matrix*: $\tilde{\rho}_{eq}(\lambda) = \alpha(\lambda) \cdot \mathbb{I}$, hence one gets $\varrho_{eq} = \mathfrak{D}(H)$.

Decoupled system I

- We start with a completely decoupled system:

$$\mathfrak{H}_a := L^2((-\infty, a]), \quad \mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{I}} := L^2(\mathcal{I}), \quad \mathfrak{H}_b := L^2([b, \infty))$$

isolated **quantum well** $\mathcal{I} = (a, b)$. Then the total Hilbert space is direct sum:

$$\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}_a \oplus \mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{I}} \oplus \mathfrak{H}_b .$$

- With the subspace \mathfrak{H}_a we associate the Hamiltonian H_a :

$$(H_a f)(x) := -\frac{1}{2m_a} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} f(x) + v_a f(x),$$
$$f \in \text{dom}(H_a) := \{f \in W^{2,2}((-\infty, a)) : f(a) = 0\}.$$

Decoupled system II

- With $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{I}}$ we associate the Hamiltonian of **isolated quantum well** $H_{\mathcal{I}}$:

$$(H_{\mathcal{I}}f)(x) := -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{m(x)} \frac{d}{dx} f(x) + v(x)f(x),$$

$$f \in \text{dom}(H_{\mathcal{I}}) := \left\{ f \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{I}) : \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{m} f' \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{I}) \\ f(a) = f(b) = 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

- With \mathfrak{H}_b we associate the Hamiltonian H_b :

$$(H_b f)(x) := -\frac{1}{2m_b} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} f(x) + v_b f(x),$$

$$f \in \text{dom}(H_b) := \{f \in W^{2,2}((b, \infty)) : f(b) = 0\}.$$

Decoupled system III

- Hence in the space \mathfrak{H} we have **three** isolated subsystems:

$$H_D := H_a \oplus H_{\mathcal{I}} \oplus H_b$$

- The quantum subsystems $\{\mathfrak{H}_a, H_a\}$ and $\{\mathfrak{H}_b, H_b\}$ are called left- and right-hand **reservoirs**. The middle system $\{\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{I}}, H_{\mathcal{I}}\}$ is identified with a closed **quantum well**.
- We assume that all three subsystems are at (*internal*) **thermal equilibrium**. Then according our Definitions, the corresponding **sub-states** must be functions of their corresponding **sub-Hamiltonians**.
- The total (**non-equilibrium**) state is direct sum of these three sub-states: $\varrho_D := \varrho_a \oplus \varrho_{\mathcal{I}} \oplus \varrho_b$.

The initial state

- According our Definitions 0.1 ,0.2 the thermal **equilibrium** sub-states ϱ_a , $\varrho_{\mathcal{I}}$ and ϱ_b are the functions of corresponding Hamiltonians :

$$\varrho_a := \mathfrak{f}_a(H_a - \mu_a), \quad \varrho_{\mathcal{I}} := \mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{I}}(H_{\mathcal{I}} - \mu_{\mathcal{I}}), \quad \varrho_b := \mathfrak{f}_b(H_b - \mu_b).$$

- **Physical examples** for fermions with chemical potentials $\mu_a, \mu_{\mathcal{I}}, \mu_b$ one can take from: **Frensley, W. R.**: Boundary conditions for open quantum systems driven far from equilibrium, **Rev. Modern Phys.** **62** (1990), 745-791, proposes

$$\mathfrak{f}_j(\lambda) := c_j \ln(1 + e^{-\beta\lambda}), \quad j \in \{a, \mathcal{I}, b\}$$

$\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta := 1/T$. The constants are given by $c_j := m_j^*/\hbar^2 \pi \beta$, where the m_j^* 's are one dimensional effective masses. The initial state is:

$$\varrho_D := \varrho_a \oplus \varrho_{\mathcal{I}} \oplus \varrho_b.$$

NESS via time-dependent coupling

- The **main question**: can we construct a NESS for $\{\mathfrak{H}, H\}$ starting from ϱ_D ?
- Let $\varrho_D = \varrho_a \oplus \varrho_I \oplus \varrho_b$ be the state of the the quantum system

$$\{\mathfrak{H}, H_D = H_a \oplus H_I \oplus H_b\}$$

at $t = -\infty$. By **Definitions** 0.1,0.2 it is a **NESS** (and even an **"ES"**):

$$[H_D, \varrho_D] = 0 .$$

- The systems are isolated at $t = -\infty$ and then we connect them in a time dependent manner the **left-** and **right-hand** reservoirs to the closed **quantum well** $\{\mathfrak{H}_I, H_I\}$.
- We assume that the connection process is described by the **time-dependent** Hamiltonian

$$H_\alpha(t) := H + e^{-\alpha t} \delta(x - a) + e^{-\alpha t} \delta(x - b), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

Time-dependent coupling I

- The operator $H_\alpha(t)$ is defined by

$$(H_\alpha(t)f)(x) := -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{M(x)} \frac{d}{dx} f(x) + V(x)f(x), f \in \text{dom}(H_\alpha(t)).$$

- The domain $\text{dom}(H_\alpha(t))$ is given by

$$\text{dom}(H_\alpha(t)) :=$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{M} f' \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}) \\ f \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}) : \begin{array}{l} (\frac{1}{2M} f')(a+0) - (\frac{1}{2M} f')(a-0) = e^{-\alpha t} f(a) \\ (\frac{1}{2M} f')(b+0) - (\frac{1}{2M} f')(b-0) = e^{-\alpha t} f(b) \end{array} \end{array} \right.$$

Time-dependent coupling II

THEOREM: One gets the following *operator-norm* convergence of resolvents:

$$\| \cdot \| - \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} (H_\alpha(t) - z)^{-1} = (H_D - z)^{-1}$$

and

$$\| \cdot \| - \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (H_\alpha(t) - z)^{-1} = (H - z)^{-1} ,$$

for any $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.

Density-matrix operator: time evolution

- We define a time-dependent density-matrix operator of the quantum system with Hamiltonian $H_\alpha(t)$ as an operator-valued family:

$$\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto \varrho_\alpha(t) \in B(W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})),$$

- (a) which is time-differentiable in the space $B(W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}), W^{-1,2}(\mathbb{R}))$;
- (b) which is (weak) solution of the *quantum Liouville equation*:

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varrho_\alpha(t) = [H_\alpha(t), \varrho_\alpha(t)], \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

satisfying (for any fixed $\alpha > 0$) the initial (*decoupling*) condition

$$\text{s-} \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \varrho_\alpha(t) = \varrho_D.$$

NESS for coupled system

Main strategy:

- Having found a solution $\varrho_\alpha(t)$ we are interested in the *ergodic limit*

$$\varrho_\alpha = \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \varrho_\alpha(t) .$$

- If we can verify that the limit ϱ_α **exists and commutes** with H , then we regard the state ϱ_α as the desired **NESS** of the **fully coupled** system $\{\mathfrak{H}, H\}$.

Digression: The unitary evolution I

- Let $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto u(t) \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ be weakly differentiable map.
- We are interested in the evolution equation

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t) = H_\alpha(t) u(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

where $H_\alpha(t)$ is regarded as a *bounded* operator acting from $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ into $W^{-1,2}(\mathbb{R})$.

Digression: The unitary evolution II

THEOREM: There is a unique unitary solution operator, or *propagator* $\{U(t, s)\}_{(t,s) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}}$, leaving invariant the Hilbert space $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ and such that:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle U(t, s)x, y \rangle = -i \langle H_\alpha(t)U(t, s)x, y \rangle, \quad x, y \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}),$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \langle U(t, s)x, y \rangle = i \langle H_\alpha(s)x, U(s, t)y \rangle, \quad x, y \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}),$$

$$U(s, s) = 1.$$

H.Neidhardt and V.A.Zagrebnov : *Linear non-autonomous Cauchy problems and evolution semigroups.* **JEE** (submitted)

Quantum Liouville equation

REMARKS:

- We note that relation:

$$\varrho_\alpha(t) := U(t, s)\varrho_\alpha(s)U(s, t), \quad t, s \in \mathbb{R},$$

can be seen as a map from $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ into $W^{-1,2}(\mathbb{R})$.

- Then it is differentiable.
- It solves the **quantum Liouville equation** satisfying the initial condition $\varrho_\alpha(t)|_{t=s} = \varrho_\alpha(s)$, provided $\varrho_\alpha(s)$ leaves $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ invariant.

Time-dependent scattering and the Liouville equation

PROPOSITION:

Let $U(t) := U(t, 0)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the wave operators

$$\Omega_- := s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} U(t)^* e^{-itH_D}$$

and

$$\Omega_+ := s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} U(t)^* e^{-itH}.$$

- Then the both exist, and Ω_+ is unitary.
- If the initial density-matrix condition is **decoupled at $t = -\infty$** , then one obtains:

$$\varrho_\alpha(t) = U(t)\Omega_- \varrho_D \Omega_-^* U(t)^*, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Incoming (stationary) wave operator

Definition: We introduce the *incoming* wave operator by

$$W_- := s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} e^{itH} e^{-itH_D} P^{ac}(H_D)$$

where $P^{ac}(H_D)$ is the projection on the absolutely continuous subspace $\mathfrak{H}^{ac}(H_D)$ of H_D .

- Note that $\mathfrak{H}^{ac}(H_D) = L^2((-\infty, a]) \oplus L^2([b, \infty))$.
- The wave operator exists and is complete, that is, W_- is an isometric operator acting from $\mathfrak{H}^{ac}(H_D)$ onto $\mathfrak{H}^{ac}(H)$, where $\mathfrak{H}^{ac}(H)$ is the absolutely continuous subspace of H (the range of $P^{ac}(H)$).

The main result I

Theorem 0.4. *Let $E_H(\cdot)$ and $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be the spectral measure and the eigenvalues of H . If ϱ_D is a **steady state** for the system $\{\mathfrak{H}, H_D\}$ such that the operator $\widehat{\varrho}_D := (H_D + \tau)^4 \varrho_D$ is bounded, then the limit*

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_\alpha &:= \text{s-}\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \varrho_\alpha(t) \\ &= W_- \varrho_D W_-^* + \sum_{j=1}^N E_H(\{\lambda_j\}) S_\alpha \varrho_D S_\alpha^* E_H(\{\lambda_j\}) \end{aligned}$$

*exists and defines a **steady state** for the **coupled** system $\{\mathfrak{H}, H\}$, where $S_\alpha := \Omega_+^* \Omega_-$.*

Comments

- We stress that only the part corresponding to the **pure point** spectrum $\varrho_\alpha^p := \sum_{j=1}^N E_H(\{\Lambda_j\}) S_\alpha \varrho_D S_\alpha^* E_H(\{\lambda_j\})$ of our NESS depends on the parameter $\alpha > 0$.
- The absolutely continuous part $\varrho_\alpha^{ac} := W_- \varrho_D W_-^*$ does not depend on the parameter on $\alpha > 0$.
- Note that with respect to the decomposition $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}^p(H) \oplus \mathfrak{H}^{ac}(H)$, one has $\varrho_\alpha = \varrho_\alpha^p \oplus \varrho_\alpha^{ac}$.

More about the main result on $\mathfrak{H}^{ac}(H)$

- On $\mathfrak{H}^{ac}(H)$ we have a stronger result :

Theorem 0.5. *If ϱ_D is a steady state for the system $\{\mathfrak{H}, H_D\}$ such that the operator $\widehat{\varrho}_D := (H_D + \tau)^4 \varrho_D$ is bounded, then*

$$\text{s-}\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \varrho_\alpha(t) P^{ac}(H) = W_- \varrho_D W_-^*.$$

Spectral representation

Corollary 0.6. *With respect to the **spectral representation** $\{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{h}(\lambda), \nu), M\}$ of H the **distribution function** $\{\tilde{\rho}_\alpha(\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ of the **steady state** ϱ_α is given by*

$$\tilde{\rho}_\alpha(\lambda) := \begin{cases} 0, & \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma(H) \\ \rho_{\alpha,j}, & \lambda = \lambda_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, N \\ \mathfrak{f}_b(\lambda - \mu_b), & \lambda \in [v_b, v_a) \\ \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{f}_b(\lambda - \mu_b) & 0 \\ 0 & \mathfrak{f}_a(\lambda - \mu_a) \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in [v_a, \infty) \end{cases}$$

where $\rho_{\alpha,j} := \langle S_\alpha \phi_j, \phi_j \rangle$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$, $v_a \geq v_b$.

The stationary current I

- Let $\eta > 0$, and choose an integer $N \geq 2$. Denote by χ_b the characteristic function of the interval (b, ∞) (the **right reservoir**).
- Without loss of generality, we assume that $H > 0$.

Definition 0.7. *The trace class operator*

$$j(\eta) := i[H(1 + \eta H)^{-N}, \chi_b]$$

*is called the **regularized current operator**. The **stationary current** coming out of the right reservoir is defined by*

$$\mathfrak{J}_\alpha := \lim_{\eta \searrow 0} \text{Tr}(\varrho_\alpha j(\eta)).$$

Aschbacher, W., Jakšić, V., Pautrat, Y., Pillet, C.-A.: "Transport properties of quasi-free fermions", **J. Math. Phys.** **48**, 032101 (2007)

The stationary current II

- Let $c > b + 1$. Choose any function $\phi_c \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$0 \leq \phi_c \leq 1, \quad \phi_c(x) = 1 \text{ if } x \geq c + 1, \quad \text{supp}(\phi_c) \subset (c - 1, \infty).$$

- Then the stationary current is given by:

$$\mathfrak{J} =$$

$$\begin{aligned} & i\text{Tr} \left\{ W_- \varrho_D (1 + H_D)^3 W_-^* P^{ac}(H) (1 + H)^{-2} [H, \phi_c] (1 + H)^{-1} \right\} \\ & = i\text{Tr} \left\{ W_- \varrho_D W_-^* P^{ac}(H) [H, \phi_c] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

- **Problem :** Compute the trace!

The Landau-Lifschitz formula I

- We have computed the *integral kernel* of

$$\mathcal{A} := iW_- \varrho_D W_-^* P^{ac}(H) \frac{1}{2m_b} \left(-\frac{d}{dx} \phi'_c - \phi'_c \frac{d}{dx} \right)$$

in the spectral representation of H .

- We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(\lambda, p; \lambda', p') &= \\ &= -\frac{i\tilde{\varrho}_D^{ac}(\lambda)_{pp}}{2m_b} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\tilde{\phi}_p(x, \lambda)} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \phi'_c(x) + \phi'_c(x) \frac{d}{dx} \right) \tilde{\phi}_{p'}(x, \lambda') dx \\ &= -\frac{i\tilde{\varrho}_D^{ac}(\lambda)_{pp}}{2m_b} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi'_c(x) \{ \overline{\tilde{\phi}_p(x, \lambda)} \tilde{\phi}'_{p'}(x, \lambda') - \overline{\tilde{\phi}'_p(x, \lambda)} \tilde{\phi}_{p'}(x, \lambda') \} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Main result: The Landau-Lifschitz formula II

- In order to compute **the trace**, we put $\lambda = \lambda'$, $p = p'$, and integrate/sum over the variables.
- Then we obtain:

$$\mathfrak{I} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi'_c(x) j(x) dx,$$

where

$$j(x) := \frac{1}{m_b} \int_{v_b}^{\infty} \sum_p \tilde{\varrho}_D^{ac}(\lambda)_{pp} \Im \{ \overline{\tilde{\phi}_p(x, \lambda)} \tilde{\phi}'_p(x, \lambda) \} d\lambda.$$

- Density $j(x)$ is a constant, depending only on invariant, scattering quantities.

The Landauer-Büttiker formula

... was obtained from Landau-Lifschitz formula in

Baro, M.; Kaiser, H.-Chr.; Neidhardt, H.; Rehberg, J: A quantum transmitting Schrödinger-Poisson system, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **16** (2004), no. 3, 281–330.

Further questions ?

1. the multidimensional case
2. ...

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION !