

Taus for systems

R. Codina J. Principe

VMS 2008

Saarbrücken, June 2008

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 General idea
- 3 Just scaling: three field Stokes problem
- 4 Waves in shallow waters
- 5 Stokes-Darcy problem
- 6 MHD problem
- 7 Summary and conclusions

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 General idea
- 3 Just scaling: three field Stokes problem
- 4 Waves in shallow waters
- 5 Stokes-Darcy problem
- 6 MHD problem
- 7 Summary and conclusions

Starting point

Consider a BVP problem

$$\mathcal{L}u = f \quad + \text{BC's}$$

with variational form

$$u \in V \quad | \quad B(u, v) = L(v) \quad \forall v \in V$$

The basic idea of the VMS method is to split the unknown u as

$$u = u_h + u', \quad V = V_h \oplus V'$$

where u_h belongs to the finite element space V_h and $u' \in V'$ is **the subscale**. The way to model it defines the particular VMS approximation.

Problem for the subscales

The subscale u' satisfies

$$B(u_h, v') + B(u', v') = L(v') \quad \forall v' \in V'$$

which can be written in abstract form as

$$\langle \mathcal{L}u', v' \rangle = \langle f - \mathcal{L}u_h, v' \rangle + \text{Boundary terms} \quad \forall v' \in V'$$

Very often, u' is approximated as

$$u' = \tau P'(f - \mathcal{L}u_h)$$

where P' is a projection onto the space of subscales (bubbles, V_h^\perp, \dots)

Application to systems

In the case of systems:

$$u' = \tau r_h, \quad r_h = P'(f - \mathcal{L}u_h)$$

$$u', r_h \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \tau \in \text{mat}_{\mathbb{R}}(n, n)$$

The way to obtain τ in this case is completely open. We aim to

- Give a (more or less) systematic way to design τ .
- Consider the possibility of taking τ **always** a diagonal matrix.
- Apply these concepts to several problems of interest.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 General idea**
- 3 Just scaling: three field Stokes problem
- 4 Waves in shallow waters
- 5 Stokes-Darcy problem
- 6 MHD problem
- 7 Summary and conclusions

Problem statement

Suppose u' restricted to ∂K , $K \in \mathcal{P}_h$, is known for all K ($u' = 0$ is a possibility). We have to approximate

$$\mathcal{L}u' = r_h \quad \text{in } K + \text{BC's on } \partial K$$

by

$$u' \approx \tau r_h \quad \text{in each } K$$

so that

$$\tau \approx \mathcal{L}^{-1}$$

Approximate/heuristic Fourier analysis

Let us denote the Fourier transform by $\hat{\cdot}$. Let k/h be the **wave number**, with k dimensionless.

Basic heuristic assumption: u' is highly fluctuating, and therefore **dominated by high wave numbers k** . As a consequence:

- Values of u' on ∂K can be neglected to approximate u' in the interior of K .
- The Fourier transform can be evaluated as for functions vanishing on ∂K (and extended to \mathbb{R}^d by zero).

The Fourier-transformed equation for the subscales will be

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}(k)\hat{u}'(k) = \hat{r}_h(k)$$

Scaling

Suppose that $\mathcal{L}u = f$ is written in such a way that

$f^t u = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i u_i$ is dimensionally well defined.

In general, if $f, g \in \text{range } \mathcal{L}$, and $u, v \in \text{dom } \mathcal{L}$,

$$f^t g = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i g_i, \quad u^t v = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i v_i$$

may not be dimensionally meaningful.

Let M be a **scaling matrix**, symmetric, positive-definite and possibly diagonal, that makes the products $f^t M g$ and $u^t M^{-1} v$ dimensionally consistent. Let also

$$|f|_M^2 = f^t M f \quad M\text{-norm of } f$$

$$|u|_{M^{-1}}^2 = u^t M^{-1} u \quad M^{-1}\text{-norm of } u$$

$$\|f\|_{L_M^2(K)} = \int_K |f|_M^2$$

Main approximation

We propose to obtain τ by imposing $\|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L_M^2(K)} \leq \|\tau^{-1}\|_{L_M^2(K)}$.
 We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L_M^2(K)}^2 &= \int_K |\mathcal{L}u|_M^2 dx \\
 &\approx \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{\mathcal{L}}(k)\widehat{u}(k)|_M^2 dk \\
 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{\mathcal{L}}(k)|_M^2 |\widehat{u}(k)|_M^2 dk \\
 &= |\widehat{\mathcal{L}}(k^0)|_M^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{u}(k)|_M^2 dk \\
 &\approx |\widehat{\mathcal{L}}(k^0)|_M^2 \|u\|_{L_M^2(K)}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Our proposal

From the previous approximation, $\|\mathcal{L}\|_{L_M^2(k^0)} \leq |\widehat{\mathcal{L}}(k^0)|_M$.

Our proposal is to choose τ such that $|\widehat{\mathcal{L}}(k^0)|_M = |\tau^{-1}|_M$. In particular, if

$$\lambda_{\max}(k^0) = \max \operatorname{spec}_{M^{-1}}(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}(k^0)^* M \widehat{\mathcal{L}}(k^0))$$

with $\lambda \in \operatorname{spec}_{M^{-1}} A \iff \exists x \mid Ax = \lambda M^{-1}x$, we will require that $\tau^{-1} M \tau^{-1} = \lambda_{\max} M^{-1}$, that is to say

Design condition

$$M \tau^{-1} = \lambda_{\max}^{1/2}(k^0) I \iff \tau = \lambda_{\max}^{-1/2}(k^0) M$$

The components of k^0 have to be understood as **algorithmic constants**.

CDR systems

Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}u &= -\partial_p K_{pq} \partial_q u + A_p \partial_p u + Su \\ K_{pq}, A_p, S &\in \text{mat}_{\mathbb{R}}(n, n)\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathcal{L}}(k) &= k_p k_q K_{pq} + i k_p A_p + S \\ \widehat{\mathcal{L}}(k)^* &= k_p k_q K_{pq}^t - i k_p A_p^t + S^t\end{aligned}$$

In any case

$$\text{spec}_{M^{-1}}(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}(k^0)^* M \widehat{\mathcal{L}}(k^0)) \subset \mathbb{R}^+$$

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 General idea
- 3 Just scaling: three field Stokes problem**
- 4 Waves in shallow waters
- 5 Stokes-Darcy problem
- 6 MHD problem
- 7 Summary and conclusions

Problem statement

Differential form:

$$-\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \nabla p = \mathbf{f}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2\mu} \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \nabla^S \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$$

Variational form:

Find $u = (\mathbf{u}, p, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \in V = (H_0^1(\Omega))^d \times L^2(\Omega)/\mathbb{R} \times (L^2(\Omega))_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d}$

such that

$$B(u, v) = L(v) \quad \forall v \in V$$

$$B(u, v) := (\nabla^S \mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) - (p, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) + (q, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) + \frac{1}{2\mu} (\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\tau}) - (\nabla^S \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\tau})$$

$$L(v) = \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$$

Stabilized finite element method

Neglecting interelement boundary terms, the stabilized finite element problem is

$$B(u_h, v_h) + (\nabla^S \mathbf{v}_h, \boldsymbol{\sigma}') - (p', \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_h) + \frac{1}{2\mu} (\boldsymbol{\sigma}', \boldsymbol{\tau}_h) = L(v_h)$$

where the subscales are solution of

$$-\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}' + \nabla p' = \mathbf{r}_u := P'(\mathbf{f} + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h - \nabla p_h)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}' = r_p := P'(-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_h)$$

$$\frac{1}{2\mu} \boldsymbol{\sigma}' - \nabla^S \mathbf{u}' = \mathbf{r}_\sigma := P'(-\frac{1}{2\mu} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h + \nabla^S \mathbf{u}_h)$$

Approximation to the subscales I

Let us consider $u = (u_1, u_2, p, \sigma_{11}, \sigma_{12}, \sigma_{22})$ ($d = 2$). The first point is to choose matrix M . If $[\cdot]$ denotes a dimensional group:

$$[r_u]^2 \left[\frac{h^2}{\mu^2} \right] = [r_p]^2 = [r_\sigma]^2, \quad [u']^2 \left[\frac{\mu^2}{h^2} \right] = [p']^2 = [\sigma']^2$$

We may take

$$M = \text{diag} (m, m, 1, 1, 1, 1), \quad m := \frac{h^2}{\mu^2}$$

Approximation to the subscales II

Let us consider matrix τ of the form

$$\tau = \text{diag}(\tau_u, \tau_u, \tau_p, \tau_\sigma, \tau_\sigma, \tau_\sigma)$$

We will show that τ_u , τ_p and τ_σ **are uniquely determined by dimensionality**.

It can be checked that the eigenvalue of the problem

$$M\hat{\mathcal{L}}(k^0)^t M\hat{\mathcal{L}}(k^0)x = \lambda x,$$

has dimensions $[\lambda] = [\mu]^{-2}$, and therefore

$$M\tau^{-1}M\tau^{-1} = \text{diag}\left(\tau_u^{-2}m^2, \tau_u^{-2}m^2, \tau_p^{-2}, \tau_\sigma^{-2}, \tau_\sigma^{-2}, \tau_\sigma^{-2}\right)$$

has to have all the diagonal entries of dimension $[\mu]^{-2}$.

Approximation to the subscales III

Being μ the only parameter of the equation, this immediately implies that

Taus for the three field Stokes problem

$$\tau_U = \alpha_U \frac{h^2}{\mu}, \quad \tau_p = \alpha_p 2\mu, \quad \tau_\sigma = \alpha_\sigma 2\mu$$

where α_U , α_p and α_σ are **dimensionless** constants that play the role of the algorithmic parameters of the formulation.

The subscales are given by

$$\mathbf{u}' = \alpha_U \frac{h^2}{\mu} \mathbf{r}_U$$

$$p' = \alpha_p 2\mu r_p$$

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}' = \alpha_\sigma 2\mu \mathbf{r}_\sigma$$

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 General idea
- 3 Just scaling: three field Stokes problem
- 4 Waves in shallow waters**
- 5 Stokes-Darcy problem
- 6 MHD problem
- 7 Summary and conclusions

Problem statement

Differential equations:

$$\partial_t \eta + H \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + \varepsilon \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \nabla \eta = f_\eta$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{g} \nabla \eta + \varepsilon \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}_u$$

Convection matrices:

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon \mathbf{u}_{0,1} & H & 0 \\ \mathbf{g} & \varepsilon \mathbf{u}_{0,1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon \mathbf{u}_{0,1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon \mathbf{u}_{0,2} & 0 & H \\ 0 & \varepsilon \mathbf{u}_{0,2} & 0 \\ \mathbf{g} & 0 & \varepsilon \mathbf{u}_{0,2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Scaling

The differential equations need to be scaled **prior** to writing the variational form of the problem. The scaling matrix may be taken as

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{g}{H} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

In this case:

$$\begin{aligned} v^t S f &= \frac{g}{H} \xi f_\eta + v f_u, & \left[\frac{g}{H} \xi f_\eta \right] &= [v f_u] = L^2 T^{-3} \\ f^t S f &= \frac{g}{H} f_\eta^2 + f_u^2, & \left[\frac{g}{H} f_\eta^2 \right] &= [f_u^2] = L^2 T^{-4} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $M = I$ once the equations have been scaled.

Stabilization parameters

The spectrum of the scaled differential operator is

$$\text{spec}_S \left(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}(k^0)^* S \widehat{\mathcal{L}}(k^0) \right) = \left\{ \left(\varepsilon(k^0 \cdot u_0) + \sqrt{gH} |k^0| \right)^2, \varepsilon^2 (k^0 \cdot u_0)^2, \left(\varepsilon(k^0 \cdot u_0) - \sqrt{gH} |k^0| \right)^2 \right\}$$

If we take $\tau = \text{diag}(\tau_\eta, \tau_u, \tau_u)$ then

$$\text{spec}_S(\tau^{-1} S \tau^{-1}) = \{\tau_\eta^{-2}, \tau_u^{-2}, \tau_u^{-2}\}$$

from where

Taus for the shallow water waves

$$\tau_\eta = \tau_u = \frac{h}{C_1 \varepsilon |\mathbf{u}_0| + C_2 \sqrt{gH}}$$

Stabilized formulation

The final formulation is

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 = & \frac{g}{H}(\partial_t \eta_h, \xi_h) - g(\mathbf{u}_h, \nabla \xi_h) - \frac{g}{H}(\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_0 \eta_h, \nabla \xi_h) - \frac{g}{H}(f_\eta, \xi_h) \\
 & + (\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{v}_h) + g(\nabla \eta_h, \mathbf{v}_h) + (\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{v}_h) - (\mathbf{f}_u, \mathbf{v}_h) \\
 & + \tau \frac{g}{H}(\mathbf{P}'(\partial_t \eta_h + H \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_h + \varepsilon \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \nabla \eta_h - f_\eta), H \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_h + \varepsilon \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \nabla \xi_h) \\
 & + \tau(\mathbf{P}'(\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h + g \nabla \eta_h + \varepsilon \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_h - \mathbf{f}_u), g \nabla \xi_h + \varepsilon \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_h)
 \end{aligned}$$

In blue: Galerkin terms

In red: stabilization terms

In green: scaling coefficients

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 General idea
- 3 Just scaling: three field Stokes problem
- 4 Waves in shallow waters
- 5 Stokes-Darcy problem**
- 6 MHD problem
- 7 Summary and conclusions

Problem statement

Differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} -\nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \sigma \mathbf{u} + \nabla p &= \mathbf{f} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} &= g \end{aligned}$$

Variational form:

$$B([\mathbf{u}, p], [\mathbf{v}, q]) = L([\mathbf{v}, q]) \quad \forall [\mathbf{v}, q]$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B([\mathbf{u}, p], [\mathbf{v}, q]) &= \nu (\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{v}) + \sigma (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) - (p, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) + (q, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) \\ L([\mathbf{v}, q]) &= \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v} \rangle + \langle g, q \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Stabilized finite element problem

The final discrete stabilized problem is:

$$B_S([\mathbf{u}_h, p_h], [\mathbf{v}_h, q_h]) = L_S([\mathbf{v}_h, q_h])$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} B_S([\mathbf{u}_h, p_h], [\mathbf{v}_h, q_h]) &= B([\mathbf{u}_h, p_h], [\mathbf{v}_h, q_h]) \\ &+ \tau_p \sum_K \langle \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_h, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_h \rangle_K \\ &+ \tau_u \sum_K \langle -\nu \Delta \mathbf{u}_h + \sigma \mathbf{u}_h + \nabla p_h, \nu \Delta \mathbf{v}_h - \sigma \mathbf{v}_h + \nabla q_h \rangle_K \\ &+ \tau_f \sum_E \langle [\mathbf{n} p_h - \nu \partial_n \mathbf{u}_h], [\mathbf{n} q_h + \nu \partial_n \mathbf{v}_h] \rangle_E \\ L_S([\mathbf{v}_h, q_h]) &= L([\mathbf{v}_h, q_h]) \\ &+ \tau_p \sum_K \langle g, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_h \rangle_K + \tau_u \sum_K \langle \mathbf{f}, \nu \Delta \mathbf{v}_h - \sigma \mathbf{v}_h + \nabla q_h \rangle_K \end{aligned}$$

Scaling

Noting the dimensional relationships:

$$[\mathbf{f}] = \left[\frac{\nu}{\ell^2} + \sigma \right] [\mathbf{u}] + \frac{1}{[\ell]} [p]$$

$$[g] = \frac{1}{[\ell]} [u]$$

we may take as scaling matrix

$$M = \text{diag}(m_u \mathbf{I}_3, m_p)$$

$$m_u = \left(\frac{\nu}{\ell^2} + \sigma \right)^{-1}, \quad m_p = \left(\frac{\nu}{\ell^2} + \sigma \right) \ell^2$$

which satisfies

$$[\mathbf{f}]^2 m_u = [g]^2 m_p = [\mathbf{u}]^2 m_u^{-1} = [p]^2 m_p^{-1}$$

Stabilization parameters

Let $\tau = \text{diag}(\tau_u, \tau_u, \tau_p)$ (in 2D). Imposing the design condition

$$\tau = \lambda_{\max}^{-1/2}(k^0)M$$

it is found that

Taus for the Stokes-Darcy problem

$$\tau_p = c_1 \nu \frac{h^2}{\ell^2} + c_2 \sigma \ell h$$

$$\tau_u = (c_1 \nu + c_2 \sigma \ell h)^{-1} h^2$$

It can be argued that $\tau_f = \tau_u/h$, that is to say:

$$\tau_f = (c_1 \nu + c_2 \sigma \ell h)^{-1} h$$

Convergence in the Darcy limit (Badia and Codina)

| Method $\ell =$ | A h | B L_0 | C L_0^2/h |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| $\ e_u\ $ Original | $h^{k+1} + h^l$ Suboptimal | $h^{k+1/2} + h^{l+1/2}$ Quasi-optimal | $h^k + h^{l+1}$ Suboptimal |
| $\ e_u\ $ Via duality | $h^{k+1} + h^l$ Suboptimal | $h^{k+1} + h^{l+1}$ Optimal | $h^k + h^{l+1}$ Suboptimal |
| $\ e_p\ $ Original | $h^{k+1} + h^l$ Suboptimal | $h^{k+1/2} + h^{l+1/2}$ Quasi-optimal | $h^k + h^{l+1}$ Suboptimal |
| $\ e_p\ $ Via duality | $h^{k+2} + h^{l+1}$ Optimal | $h^{k+1} + h^{l+1}$ Optimal | $h^k + h^{l+1}$ Suboptimal |
| $\ \nabla \cdot e_u\ $ | $h^k + h^{l-1}$ Suboptimal | $h^k + h^l$ Optimal | $h^k + h^{l+1}$ Optimal |
| $\ \nabla e_p\ $ | $h^{k+1} + h^l$ Optimal | $h^k + h^l$ Optimal | $h^{k-1} + h^l$ Suboptimal |
| k, l Optimal | $k + 1 = l$ | $k = l$ | $k = l + 1$ |

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 General idea
- 3 Just scaling: three field Stokes problem
- 4 Waves in shallow waters
- 5 Stokes-Darcy problem
- 6 MHD problem**
- 7 Summary and conclusions

Problem statement

Differential equations:

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla p + \frac{1}{\mu_m \rho} \mathbf{b} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{f}_u$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$-\nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{b}) + \frac{1}{\mu_m \sigma} \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{B} + \nabla r = \mathbf{f}_B$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$

Variational form:

$$B(u, v) = (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) + \nu (\nabla \mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{u}) - (p, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) + (q, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\mu_m \rho} (\mathbf{B}, \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{b})) - \frac{1}{\mu_m \rho} (\mathbf{C}, \nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{b}))$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\mu_m \rho} \frac{1}{\mu_m \sigma} (\nabla \times \mathbf{C}, \nabla \times \mathbf{B}) + \frac{1}{\mu_m \rho} (\nabla r, \mathbf{C}) - \frac{1}{\mu_m \rho} (\nabla s, \mathbf{B})$$

Stabilization terms

The following terms have to be added to the Galerkin ones (ASGS formulation):

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \tau_1 (\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} + \nu \Delta \mathbf{v} + \nabla q + \frac{1}{\mu_m \rho} \mathbf{b} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{C})), \\
 & \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{v} + \nabla p + \frac{1}{\mu_m \rho} \mathbf{b} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{B})) \\
 & + \tau_2 (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) \\
 & + \tau_3 \left(-\frac{1}{\mu_m \rho} \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{b}) - \frac{1}{\mu_m \rho} \frac{1}{\mu_m \sigma} \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{C} + \frac{1}{\mu_m \rho} \nabla s, \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{\mu_m \rho} \nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{b}) + \frac{1}{\mu_m \rho} \frac{1}{\mu_m \sigma} \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{B} + \frac{1}{\mu_m \rho} \nabla r \right) \\
 & + \tau_4 \left(\frac{1}{\mu_m \rho} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{C}, \frac{1}{\mu_m \rho} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Stabilization parameters

Multiplying the equations for \mathbf{B} by $\frac{1}{\mu_m \rho}$, introducing a scaling matrix M and applying the design condition $\tau = \lambda_{\max}^{-1/2}(k^0)M$ it is found that

Taus for the MHD problem

$$\tau_1 = \left(\alpha + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}} \beta \right)^{-1}, \quad \tau_2 = h^2 \tau_1^{-1}$$

$$\tau_3 = \left(\gamma + \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} \beta \right)^{-1} (\mu_m \rho)^2, \quad \tau_4 = h^2 \tau_3^{-1}$$

where

$$\alpha := \frac{a}{h} + \frac{\nu}{h^2}, \quad \beta := \frac{1}{\mu_m \rho} \frac{b}{h}, \quad \gamma := \frac{1}{\mu_m \rho} \frac{1}{\mu_m \sigma} \frac{1}{h^2}$$

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 General idea
- 3 Just scaling: three field Stokes problem
- 4 Waves in shallow waters
- 5 Stokes-Darcy problem
- 6 MHD problem
- 7 Summary and conclusions**

Summary and conclusions

The process we have proposed consists of:

- Scaling the differential equations with a (diagonal) matrix M so that $f^t M g$ and $u^t M^{-1} v$ are **dimensionally consistent**.
- **Fourier transforming** the differential operator to obtain $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$.
- Choosing τ diagonal.
- Applying the **design condition**:

$$\tau = \lambda_{\max}^{-1/2}(k^0) M$$

This process has been applied to several problems of interest (three field formulation of the Stokes problem, waves in shallow waters, Stokes-Darcy problem, MHD problem). In all cases, the resulting finite element formulation turns out to be **stable and optimally convergent** in appropriate norms.

THANK YOU!