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## Recapitulation I: Finite Elements

- Strong formulation of PDE
- Problems with strong formulation
- Weak formulation of PDE, solvability
- Galerkin ansatz
- Matrix form
- Matrix element calculation
- Matrix properties
- Solution of matrix problem


## Second order elliptic PDEs

Stationary case: $\partial_{t} u=0 \Rightarrow$ second order elliptic PDE

$$
-\nabla \cdot(\delta \nabla u(x))=f(x)
$$

- Stationary heat conduction, stationary diffusion
- Incompressible flow in saturated porous media: $u$ : pressure $\delta=k$ : permeability, flux $=-k \nabla u$ : "Darcy's law"
- Electrical conduction: u: electric potential $\delta=\sigma$ : electric conductivity flux $=-\sigma \nabla u \equiv$ current density: "Ohms's law"
- Poisson equation (electrostatics in a constant magnetic field): $u$ : electrostatic potential, $\nabla u$ : electric field, $\delta=\varepsilon$ : dielectric permittivity, $f$ : charge density


## Second order PDEs: boundary conditions

- Combine PDE in the interior with boundary conditions on variable $u$ and/or or normal flux $\delta \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n}$
- Assume $\partial \Omega=\cup_{i=1}^{N_{\Gamma}} \Gamma_{i}$ is the union of a finite number of non-intersecting subsets $\Gamma_{i}$ which are locally Lipschitz.
- On each $\Gamma_{i}$, specify one of
- Dirichlet ("first kind"): let $g_{i}: \Gamma_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (homogeneous for $g_{i}=0$ )

$$
u(x)=u_{\Gamma_{i}}(x) \quad \text { for } x \in \Gamma_{i}
$$

- Neumann ("second kind"): Let $g_{i}: \Gamma_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (homogeneus for $g_{i}=0$ )

$$
\delta \nabla u(x) \cdot \mathbf{n}=g_{i}(x) \quad \text { for } x \in \Gamma_{i}
$$

- Robin ("third kind"): let $\alpha_{i}, g_{i}: \Gamma_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$
\delta \nabla u(x) \cdot \mathbf{n}+\alpha_{i}(x)\left(u(x)-g_{i}(x)\right)=0 \quad \text { for } x \in \Gamma_{i}
$$

- Boundary functions may be time dependent.


## Problems with "strong formulation"

Writing the PDE with divergence and gradient assumes smoothness of coefficients and at least second derivatives for the solution.

- $\delta$ may not be continuous - what is then $\nabla \cdot(\delta \nabla u)$ ?
- Approximation of solution $u$ e.g. by piecewise linear functions what does $\nabla u$ mean ?
- Spaces of twice, and even once continuously differentiable functions is not well suited:
- Favorable approximation functions (e.g. piecewise linear ones) are not contained
- Though they can be equipped with norms ( $\Rightarrow$ Banach spaces) they have no scalar product $\Rightarrow$ no Hilbert spaces
- Not complete: Cauchy sequences of functions may not converge to elements in these spaces


## Derivation of weak formulation

- Sobolev space theory provides a convenient framework to formulate existence, uniqueness and approximations of solutions of PDEs.
- Stationary heat conduction equation with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\nabla \cdot \lambda \nabla u(x) & =f(x) \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =0 \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiply and integrate with an arbitrary test function $v \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and apply Green's theorem using $v=0$ on $\partial \Omega$

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\int_{\Omega}(\nabla \cdot \lambda \nabla u) v d \mathbf{x} & =\int_{\Omega} f v d \mathbf{x} \\
\int_{\Omega} \lambda \nabla u \nabla v d \mathbf{x} & =\int_{\Omega} f v d \mathbf{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Weak formulation of homogeneous Dirichlet problem

- Search $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ (here, $\operatorname{tr} u=0$ ) such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \lambda \nabla u \nabla v d \mathbf{x}=\int_{\Omega} f v d \mathbf{x} \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

- Then,

$$
a(u, v):=\int_{\Omega} \lambda \nabla u \nabla v d \mathbf{x}
$$

is a self-adjoint bilinear form defined on the Hilbert space $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.

- It is bounded due to Cauchy-Schwarz:

$$
|a(u, v)|=|\lambda| \cdot\left|\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v d \mathbf{x}\right| \leq\|u\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)} \cdot\|v\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}
$$

- $f(v)=\int_{\Omega} f v d \mathbf{x}$ is a linear functional on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. For Hilbert spaces $V$ the dual space $V^{\prime}$ (the space of linear functionals) can be identified with the space itself.


## The Lax-Milgram lemma

Theorem: Let $V$ be a Hilbert space. Let $a: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a self-adjoint bilinear form, and $f$ a linear functional on $V$. Assume a is coercive, i.e.

$$
\exists \alpha>0: \forall u \in V, a(u, u) \geq \alpha\|u\|_{V}^{2}
$$

Then the problem: find $u \in V$ such that

$$
a(u, v)=f(v) \forall v \in V
$$

admits one and only one solution with an a priori estimate

$$
\|u\|_{v} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}\|f\|_{v^{\prime}}
$$

## Coercivity of weak formulation

Theorem: Assume $\lambda>0$. Then the weak formulation of the heat conduction problem: search $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \lambda \nabla u \nabla v d \mathbf{x}=\int_{\Omega} f v d \mathbf{x} \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

has an unique solution.
Proof: $a(u, v)$ is cocercive:

$$
a(u, v)=\int_{\Omega} \lambda \nabla u \nabla u d \mathbf{x}=\lambda\|u\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}
$$

## Weak formulation of inhomogeneous Dirichlet problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\nabla \cdot \lambda \nabla u & =f \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =g \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

- If $g$ is smooth enough, there exists a lifting $u_{g} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $\left.u_{g}\right|_{\partial \Omega}=g$. Then, we can re-formulate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\nabla \cdot \lambda \nabla\left(u-u_{g}\right) & =f+\nabla \cdot \lambda \nabla u_{g} \text { in } \Omega \\
u-u_{g} & =0 \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

- Search $u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & =u_{g}+\phi \\
\int_{\Omega} \lambda \nabla \phi \nabla v d \mathbf{x} & =\int_{\Omega} f v d \mathbf{x}+\int_{\Omega} \lambda \nabla u_{g} \nabla v \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, necessarily, $\phi \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and we can apply the theory for the homogeneous Dirichlet problem.

## The Galerkin method II

- Let $V$ be a Hilbert space. Let $a: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a self-adjoint bilinear form, and $f$ a linear functional on $V$. Assume $a$ is coercive with coercivity constant $\alpha$, and continuity constant $\gamma$.
- Continuous problem: search $u \in V$ such that

$$
a(u, v)=f(v) \forall v \in V
$$

- Let $V_{h} \subset V$ be a finite dimensional subspace of $V$
- "Discrete" problem $\equiv$ Galerkin approximation: Search $u_{h} \in V_{h}$ such that

$$
a\left(u_{h}, v_{h}\right)=f\left(v_{h}\right) \forall v_{h} \in V_{h}
$$

By Lax-Milgram, this problem has a unique solution as well.

## Céa's lemma

- What is the connection between $u$ and $u_{h}$ ?
- Let $v_{h} \in V_{h}$ be arbitrary. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha\left\|u-u_{h}\right\|^{2} & \leq a\left(u-u_{h}, u-u_{h}\right) \quad \text { (Coercivity) } \\
& =a\left(u-u_{h}, u-v_{h}\right)+a\left(u-u_{h}, v_{h}-u_{h}\right) \\
& =a\left(u-u_{h}, u-v_{h}\right) \quad \text { (Galerkin Orthogonality) } \\
& \leq \gamma\left\|u-u_{h}\right\| \cdot\left\|u-v_{h}\right\| \quad \text { (Boundedness) }
\end{aligned}
$$

- As a result

$$
\left\|u-u_{h}\right\| \leq \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} \inf _{v_{h} \in V_{h}}\left\|u-v_{h}\right\|
$$

- Up to a constant, the error of the Galerkin approximation is the error of the best approximation of the solution in the subspace $V_{h}$.


## From the Galerkin method to the matrix equation

- Let $\phi_{1} \ldots \phi_{n}$ be a set of basis functions of $V_{h}$.
- Then, we have the representation $u_{h}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{j} \phi_{j}$
- In order to search $u_{h} \in V_{h}$ such that

$$
a\left(u_{h}, v_{h}\right)=f\left(v_{h}\right) \forall v_{h} \in V_{h}
$$

it is actually sufficient to require

$$
\begin{aligned}
a\left(u_{h}, \phi_{i}\right) & =f\left(\phi_{i}\right)(i=1 \ldots n) \\
a\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{j} \phi_{j}, \phi_{i}\right) & =f\left(\phi_{i}\right)(i=1 \ldots n) \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} a\left(\phi_{j}, \phi_{i}\right) u_{j} & =f\left(\phi_{i}\right)(i=1 \ldots n) \\
A U & =F
\end{aligned}
$$

with $A=\left(a_{i j}\right), a_{i j}=a\left(\phi_{i}, \phi_{j}\right), F=\left(f_{i}\right), f_{i}=F\left(\phi_{i}\right), U=\left(u_{i}\right)$.

- Matrix dimension is $n \times n$. Matrix sparsity ?


## The finite element idea

- Choose basis functions with local support. In this case, the matrix becomes sparse, as only integrals of basis function pairs with overlapping support contribute to the matrix.
- Linear finite elements in $\Omega=(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}^{1}$ :
- Partition $a=x_{1} \leq x_{2} \leq \cdots \leq x_{n}=b$
- Basis functions (for $i=1 \ldots n$ )

$$
\phi_{i}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{x-x_{i-1}}{x_{i}-x_{i-1}}, & i>1, x \in\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right) \\ \frac{x_{i+1}-x}{x_{i+1}-x_{i}}, & i<n, x \in\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right) \\ 0, & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

- Any function $u_{h} \in V_{h}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\phi_{1} \ldots \phi_{n}\right\}$ is piecewise linear, and the coefficients in the representation $u_{h}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i} \phi_{i}$ are the values $u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)$.
- Fortunately, we are working with a weak formulation, and weak derivatives are well defined !


## Simplices

- Let $\left\{a_{0} \ldots a_{d}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that the $d$ vectors $a_{1}-a_{0} \ldots a_{d}-a_{0}$ are linearly independent. Then the convex hull $K$ of $a_{0} \ldots a_{d}$ is called simplex, and $a_{0} \ldots a_{d}$ are called vertices of the simplex.
- Unit simplex: $a_{0}=(0 \ldots 0), a_{1}=(0,1 \ldots 0) \ldots a_{d}=(0 \ldots 0,1)$.

$$
K=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}: x_{i} \geq 0(i=1 \ldots d) \text { and } \sum_{i=1}^{d} x_{i} \leq 1\right\}
$$

- A general simplex can be defined as an image of the unit simplex under some affine transformation
- $F_{i}$ : face of $K$ opposite to $a_{i}$
- $\mathbf{n}_{i}$ : outward normal to $F_{i}$


## Barycentric coordinates

- Let $K$ be a simplex.
- Functions $\lambda_{i}(i=0 \ldots d)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{d} & \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
x & \mapsto \lambda_{i}(x)=1-\frac{\left(x-a_{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i}}{\left(a_{j}-a_{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{j}$ is any vertex of $K$ situated in $F_{i}$.

- For $x \in K$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
1-\frac{\left(x-a_{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i}}{\left(a_{j}-a_{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i}} & =\frac{\left(a_{j}-a_{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i}-\left(x-a_{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i}}{\left(a_{j}-a_{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i}} \\
& =\frac{\left(a_{j}-x\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i}}{\left(a_{j}-a_{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i}}=\frac{\operatorname{dist}\left(x, F_{i}\right)}{\operatorname{dist}\left(a_{i}, F_{i}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\operatorname{dist}\left(x, F_{i}\right)\left|F_{i}\right| / d}{\operatorname{dist}\left(a_{i}, F_{i}\right)\left|F_{i}\right| / d} \\
& =\frac{\operatorname{dist}\left(x, F_{i}\right)\left|F_{i}\right|}{|K|}
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. $\lambda_{i}(x)$ is the ratio of the volume of the simplex $K_{i}(x)$ made up of $x$ and the vertices of $F_{i}$ to the volume of $K$.

## Barycentric coordinates II

- $\lambda_{i}\left(a_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$
- $\lambda_{i}(x)=0 \forall x \in F_{i}$
- $\sum_{i=0}^{d} \lambda_{i}(x)=1 \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$
(just sum up the volumes)
- $\sum_{i=0}^{d} \lambda_{i}(x)\left(x-a_{i}\right)=0 \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$
(due to $\sum \lambda_{i}(x) x=x$ and $\sum \lambda_{i} a_{i}=x$ as the vector of linear coordinate functions)
- Unit simplex:
- $\lambda_{0}(x)=1-\sum_{i=1}^{d} x_{i}$
- $\lambda_{i}(x)=x_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$


## Polynomial space $\mathbb{P}_{k}$

- Space of polynomials in $x_{1} \ldots x_{d}$ of total degree $\leq k$ with real coefficients $\alpha_{i_{1} \ldots i_{d}}$ :

$$
\mathbb{P}_{k}=\left\{p(x)=\sum_{\substack{0 \leq i_{1} \ldots i_{d_{d}} \leq k \\ i_{1}+\cdots+i_{d} \leq k}} \alpha_{i_{1} \ldots i_{d}} x_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots x_{d}^{i_{d}}\right\}
$$

- Dimension:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{P}_{k}=\binom{d+k}{k} & = \begin{cases}k+1, & d=1 \\
\frac{1}{2}(k+1)(k+2), & d=2 \\
\frac{1}{6}(k+1)(k+2)(k+3), & d=3\end{cases} \\
\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{P}_{1} & =d+1 \\
\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{P}_{2} & = \begin{cases}3, & d=1 \\
6, & d=2 \\
10, & d=3\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $\mathbb{P}_{1}$ simplex finite elements

- $K$ : simplex spanned by $a_{0} \ldots a_{d}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$
- $P=\mathbb{P}_{1}$, such that $s=d+1$
- Nodes $\equiv$ vertices
- Basis functions $\equiv$ barycentric coordinates



## Conformal triangulations

- Let $\mathcal{T}_{h}$ be a subdivision of the polygonal domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ into non-intersecting compact simplices $K_{m}, m=1 \ldots n_{e}$ :

$$
\bar{\Omega}=\bigcup_{m=1}^{n_{e}} K_{m}
$$

- Each simplex can be seen as the image of a affine transformation of a reference (e.g. unit) simplex $\widehat{K}$ :

$$
K_{m}=T_{m}(\widehat{K})
$$

- We assume that it is conformal, i.e. if $K_{m}, K_{n}$ have a $d-1$ dimensional intersection $F=K_{m} \cap K_{n}$, then there is a face $\widehat{F}$ of $\widehat{K}$ and renumberings of the vertices of $K_{n}, K_{m}$ such that $F=T_{m}(\widehat{F})=T_{n}(\widehat{F})$ and $\left.T_{m}\right|_{\widehat{F}}=\left.T_{n}\right|_{\widehat{F}}$


## Conformal triangulations II

- $d=1$ : Each intersection $F=K_{m} \cap K_{n}$ is either empty or a common vertex
- $d=2$ : Each intersection $F=K_{m} \cap K_{n}$ is either empty or a common vertex or a common edge

- $d=3$ : Each intersection $F=K_{m} \cap K_{n}$ is either empty or a common vertex or a common edge or a common face
- Delaunay triangulations are conformal


## Global degrees of freedom

- Let $\left\{a_{1} \ldots a_{N}\right\}=\bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}}\left\{a_{K, 1} \ldots a_{K, s}\right\}$
- Degree of freedom map

$$
\begin{aligned}
j: \mathcal{T}_{h} \times\{1 \ldots s\} & \rightarrow\{1 \ldots N\} \\
(K, m) & \mapsto j(K, m) \text { the global degree of freedom number }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Global shape functions $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{N} \in W_{h}$ defined by

$$
\left.\phi_{i}\right|_{K}\left(a_{K, m}\right)= \begin{cases}\delta_{m n} & \text { if } \exists n \in\{1 \ldots s\}: j(K, n)=i \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

- Global degrees of freedom $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{N}: V_{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\gamma_{i}\left(v_{h}\right)=v_{h}\left(a_{i}\right)
$$

$P^{1}$ global shape functions


## Stiffness matrix for Laplace operator for P1 FEM

- Element-wise calculation:

$$
a_{i j}=a\left(\phi_{i}, \phi_{j}\right)=\int_{\Omega} \nabla \phi_{i} \nabla \phi_{j} d \mathbf{x}=\int_{\Omega} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \nabla \phi_{i}\left|k \nabla \phi_{j}\right|_{K} d \mathbf{x}
$$

- Standard assembly loop:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } i, j=1 \ldots N \text { do } \\
& \text { set } a_{i j}=0 \\
& \text { end } \\
& \text { for } K \in \mathcal{T}_{h} \text { do } \\
& \text { for } m, n=0 \ldots d \text { do } \\
& s_{m n}=\int_{K} \nabla \lambda_{m} \nabla \lambda_{n} d \mathbf{x} \\
& a_{j_{d o f}(K, m), j_{d o f}(K, n)}=a_{j_{d o f}(K, m), j_{d o f}(K, n)}+s_{m n} \\
& \text { end } \\
& \text { end }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Local stiffness matrix:

$$
S_{K}=\left(s_{K ; m, n}\right)=\int_{K} \nabla \lambda_{m} \nabla \lambda_{n} d \mathbf{x}
$$

## Error estimates for homogeneous Dirichlet problem

- Search $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \lambda \nabla u \nabla v d \mathbf{x}=\int_{\Omega} f v d \mathbf{x} \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

Then, $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|u-u_{h}\right\|_{1, \Omega}=0$. If $u \in H^{2}(\Omega)$ (e.g. on convex domains) then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u-u_{h}\right\|_{1, \Omega} & \leq c h|u|_{2, \Omega} \\
\left\|u-u_{h}\right\|_{0, \Omega} & \leq c h^{2}|u|_{2, \Omega}
\end{aligned}
$$

Under certain conditions (convex domain, smooth coefficients) one also has

$$
\left\|u-u_{h}\right\|_{0, \Omega} \leq c h|u|_{1, \Omega}
$$

("Aubin-Nitsche-Lemma")

## $H^{2}$-Regularity

- $u \in H^{2}(\Omega)$ may be not fulfilled e.g.
- if $\Omega$ has re-entrant corners
- if on a smooth part of the domain, the boundary condition type changes
- if problem coefficients $(\lambda)$ are discontinuos
- Situations differ as well between two and three space dimensions
- Delicate theory, ongoing research in functional analysis
- Consequence for simuations
- Deterioration of convergence rate
- Remedy: local refinement of the discretization mesh
- using a priori information
- using a posteriori error estimators + automatic refinement of discretizatiom mesh


## More complicated integrals

- Assume non-constant right hand side $f$, space dependent heat conduction coefficient $\kappa$.
- Right hand side integrals

$$
f_{i}=\int_{K} f(x) \lambda_{i}(x) d \mathbf{x}
$$

- $P^{1}$ stiffness matrix elements

$$
a_{i j}=\int_{K} \kappa(x) \nabla \lambda_{i} \nabla \lambda_{j} d \mathbf{x}
$$

- $P^{k}$ stiffness matrix elements created from higher order ansatz functions


## Quadrature rules

- Quadrature rule:

$$
\int_{K} g(x) d \mathbf{x} \approx|K| \sum_{l=1}^{l_{q}} \omega_{l} g\left(\xi_{l}\right)
$$

- $\xi_{1}$ : nodes, Gauss points
- $\omega_{1}$ : weights
- The largest number $k$ such that the quadrature is exact for polynomials of order $k$ is called order $k_{q}$ of the quadrature rule, i.e.

$$
\forall k \leq k_{q}, \forall p \in \mathbb{P}^{k} \int_{K} p(x) d \mathbf{x}=|K| \sum_{l=1}^{I_{q}} \omega_{l} p\left(\xi_{l}\right)
$$

- Error estimate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall \phi \in \mathcal{C}^{k_{q}+1}(K), \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{|K|} \int_{K} \phi(x) d \mathbf{x}\right. & -\sum_{l=1}^{l_{q}} \omega_{l} g\left(\xi_{l}\right) \mid \\
& \leq c h_{K}^{k_{q}+1} \sup _{x \in K,|\alpha|=k_{q}+1}\left|\partial^{\alpha} \phi(x)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

## Some common quadrature rules

Nodes are characterized by the barycentric coordinates

| $d$ | $k_{q}$ | $I_{q}$ | Nodes | Weights |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ | 1 |
|  | 1 | 2 | $(1,0),(0,1)$ | $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$ |
|  | 3 | 2 | $\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}\right),\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}, \frac{1}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}\right)$ | $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$ |
|  | 5 | 3 | $\left(\frac{1}{2},\right),\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{3}{20}}, \frac{1}{2}-\sqrt{\frac{3}{20}}\right),\left(\frac{1}{2}-\sqrt{\frac{3}{20}}, \frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{3}{20}}\right)$ | $\frac{8}{18}, \frac{5}{18}, \frac{5}{18}$ |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | $\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right)$ | 1 |
|  | 1 | 3 | $(1,0,0),(0,1,0),(0,0,1)$ | $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$ |
|  | 2 | 3 | $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right),\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right),\left(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ | $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$ |
|  | 3 | 4 | $\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right),\left(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{3}{5}\right),\left(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{1}{5}\right),\left(\frac{3}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}\right)$, | $-\frac{9}{16}, \frac{54}{48}, \frac{25}{48}, \frac{25}{48}$ |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | $\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right)$ |  |
|  | 1 | 4 | $(1,0,0,0),(0,1,0,0),(0,0,1,0),(0,0,0,1)$ | $\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}$ |
|  | 2 | 4 | $\left(\frac{5-\sqrt{5}}{20}, \frac{5-\sqrt{5}}{20}, \frac{5-\sqrt{5}}{20}, \frac{5+3 \sqrt{5}}{20}\right) \ldots$ | $\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}$ |

## Matching of approximation order and quadrature order

- "Variational crime": instead of

$$
a\left(u_{h}, v_{h}\right)=f\left(v_{h}\right) \forall v_{h} \in V_{h}
$$

we solve

$$
a_{h}\left(u_{h}, v_{h}\right)=f_{h}\left(v_{h}\right) \forall v_{h} \in V_{h}
$$

where $a_{h}, f_{h}$ are derived from their exact counterparts by quadrature

- For $P^{1}$ finite elements, zero order quadrature for volume integrals and first order quadrature for surface intergals is sufficient to keep the convergence order estimates stated before
- The rule of thumb for the volume quadrature is that the highest order terms must be evaluated exactly if the coefficients of the PDE are constant.


## P1 FEM stiffness matrix condition number

- Homogeneous dirichlet boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\nabla \cdot \kappa \nabla u=f \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

- Lagrange degrees of freedom $a_{1} \ldots a_{N}$ corresponding to global basis functions $\phi_{1} \ldots \phi_{N}$ such that $\left.\phi_{i}\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0$ aka $\phi_{i} \in V_{h} \subset H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$
- Stiffness matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ :

$$
a_{i j}=a\left(\phi_{i}, \phi_{j}\right)=\int_{\Omega} \kappa \nabla \phi_{i} \nabla \phi_{j} d \mathbf{x}
$$

- bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is self-adjoint, therefore $A$ is symmetric, positive definite
- Condition number estimate for $P^{1}$ finite elements on quasi-uniform triangulation:

$$
\kappa(A) \leq c h^{-2}
$$

## The problem with Dirichlet boundary conditions

- Homogeneous Dirichlet $\mathrm{BC} \Rightarrow$ include boundary condition into set of basis functions
- Inhomogeneous Dirichlet, may be only at a part of the boundary
- Use exact approach from as in continous formulation (with lifting $u_{g}$ etc) $\Rightarrow$ highly technical
- Eliminate Dirichlet BC algebraically after building of the matrix, i.e. fix "known unknowns" at the Dirichlet boundary $\Rightarrow$ highly technical
- Modifiy matrix such that equations at boundary exactly result in Dirichlet values $\Rightarrow$ loss of symmetry of the matrix
- Penalty method


## Dirichlet BC: Algebraic manipulation

- Assume 1D situation with $\mathrm{BC} u_{1}=g$
- From integration in $H^{1}$ regardless of boundary values:

$$
A U=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\frac{1}{h} & -\frac{1}{h} & & & \\
-\frac{1}{h} & \frac{2}{h} & -\frac{1}{h} & & \\
& -\frac{1}{h} & \frac{2}{h} & -\frac{1}{h} & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
u_{3} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{1} \\
f_{2} \\
f_{3} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Fix $u_{1}$ and eliminate:

$$
A^{\prime} U=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{2}{h} & -\frac{1}{h} & & \\
-\frac{1}{h} & \frac{2}{h} & -\frac{1}{h} & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots .
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
u_{2} \\
u_{3} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{2}+\frac{1}{h} g \\
f_{3} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right)
$$

- $A^{\prime}$ becomes idd and stays symmetric
- operation is quite technical


## Dirichlet BC: Modify boundary equations

- From integration in $H^{1}$ regardless of boundary values:

$$
A U=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\frac{1}{h} & -\frac{1}{h} & & & \\
-\frac{1}{h} & \frac{2}{h} & -\frac{1}{h} & & \\
& -\frac{1}{h} & \frac{2}{h} & -\frac{1}{h} & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
u_{3} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{1} \\
f_{2} \\
f_{3} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Modify equation at boundary to exactly represent Dirichlet values

$$
A^{\prime} U=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\frac{1}{h} & 0 & & & \\
-\frac{1}{h} & \frac{2}{h} & -\frac{1}{h} & & \\
& -\frac{1}{h} & \frac{2}{h} & -\frac{1}{h} & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
u_{3} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{h} g \\
f_{2} \\
f_{3} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right)
$$

- $A^{\prime}$ becomes idd
- loses symmetry $\Rightarrow$ problem e.g. with CG method


## Dirichlet BC: Discrete penalty trick

- From integration in $H^{1}$ regardless of boundary values:

$$
A U=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\frac{1}{h} & -\frac{1}{h} & & & \\
-\frac{1}{h} & \frac{2}{h} & -\frac{1}{h} & & \\
& -\frac{1}{h} & \frac{2}{h} & -\frac{1}{h} & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
u_{3} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{1} \\
f_{2} \\
f_{3} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Add penalty terms

$$
A^{\prime} U=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{h} & -\frac{1}{h} & & & \\
-\frac{1}{h} & \frac{2}{h} & -\frac{1}{h} & & \\
& -\frac{1}{h} & \frac{2}{h} & -\frac{1}{h} & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
u_{3} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{1}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} g \\
f_{2} \\
f_{3} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right)
$$

- $A^{\prime}$ becomes idd, keeps symmetry, and the realization is technically easy.
- If $\varepsilon$ is small enough, $u_{1}=g$ will be satisfied exactly within floating point accuracy.
- Iterative methods should be initialized with Dirichlet values.
- Works for nonlinear problems, finite volume methods


## Dirichlet penalty trick, general formulation

- Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\nabla \cdot \kappa \nabla u=f \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
& \left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=g
\end{aligned}
$$

- We discussed approximation of Dirichlet problem by Robin problem
- Practical realization uses discrete approach for Lagrange degrees of freedom $a_{1} \ldots a_{N}$ corresponding to global basis functions $\phi_{1} \ldots \phi_{N}$ :
- Search $u_{h}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} u_{i} \phi_{i} \in V_{h}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\phi_{1} \ldots \phi_{N}\right\}$ such that

$$
A U+\Pi U=F+\Pi G
$$

where

- $U=\left(u_{1} \ldots u_{N}\right)$
- $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ : stiffness matrix with $a_{i j}=\int_{\Omega} \kappa \nabla \phi_{i} \nabla \phi_{j} d \mathbf{x}$
- $F=\int_{\Omega} f \nabla \phi_{i} d \mathbf{x}$
- $G=\left(g_{i}\right)$ with $g_{i}= \begin{cases}g\left(a_{i}\right), & a_{i} \in \partial \Omega \\ 0, & \text { else }\end{cases}$
- $\Pi=\left(\pi_{i j}\right)$ is a diagonal matrix with $\pi_{i j}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}, & i=j, a_{i} \in \partial \Omega \\ 0, & \text { else }\end{cases}$


## Solution of SPD system as a minimization procedure

Regard $A u=f$, where $A$ is symmetric, positive definite. Then it defines a bilinear form $a: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$
a(u, v)=(A u, v)=v^{T} A u=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} v_{i} u_{j}
$$

As $A$ is SPD, for all $u \neq 0$ we have $(A u, u)>0$.
For a given vector $b$, regard the function

$$
f(u)=\frac{1}{2} a(u, u)-b^{T} u
$$

What is the minimizer of $f$ ?

$$
f^{\prime}(u)=A u-b=0
$$

- Solution of SPD system $\equiv$ minimization of $f$.


## Method of steepest descent

- Given some vector $u_{i}$, look for a new iterate $u_{i+1}$.
- The direction of steepest descend is given by $-f^{\prime}\left(u_{i}\right)$.
- So look for $u_{i+1}$ in the direction of $-f^{\prime}\left(u_{i}\right)=r_{i}=b-A u_{i}$ such that it minimizes f in this direction, i.e. set $u_{i+1}=u_{i}+\alpha r_{i}$ with $\alpha$ choosen from

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\frac{d}{d \alpha} f\left(u_{i}+\alpha r_{i}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(u_{i}+\alpha r_{i}\right) \cdot r_{i} \\
& =\left(b-A\left(u_{i}+\alpha r_{i}\right), r_{i}\right) \\
& =\left(b-A u_{i}, r_{i}\right)-\alpha\left(A r_{i}, r_{i}\right) \\
& =\left(r_{i}, r_{i}\right)-\alpha\left(A r_{i}, r_{i}\right) \\
\alpha & =\frac{\left(r_{i}, r_{i}\right)}{\left(A r_{i}, r_{i}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Method of steepest descent: iteration scheme

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{i} & =b-A u_{i} \\
\alpha_{i} & =\frac{\left(r_{i}, r_{i}\right)}{\left(A r_{i}, r_{i}\right)} \\
u_{i+1} & =u_{i}+\alpha_{i} r_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\hat{u}$ the exact solution. Define $e_{i}=u_{i}-\hat{u}$, then $r_{i}=-A e_{i}$
Let $\|u\|_{A}=(A u, u)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ be the energy norm wrt. A.
Theorem The convergence rate of the method is

$$
\left\|e_{i}\right\|_{A} \leq\left(\frac{\kappa-1}{\kappa+1}\right)^{i}\left\|e_{0}\right\|_{A}
$$

where $\kappa=\frac{\lambda_{\max }(A)}{\lambda_{\min }(A)}$ is the spectral condition number.

## Method of steepest descent: advantages

- Simple Richardson iteration $u_{k+1}=u_{k}-\alpha\left(A u_{k}-f\right)$ needs good eigenvalue estimate to be optimal with $\alpha=\frac{2}{\lambda_{\max }+\lambda_{\text {min }}}$
- In this case, asymptotic convergence rate is $\rho=\frac{\kappa-1}{\kappa+1}$
- Steepest descent has the same rate without need for spectral estimate


## Conjugate directions

For steepest descent, there is no guarantee that a search direction $d_{i}=r_{i}=-A e_{i}$ is not used several times. If all search directions would be orthogonal, or, indeed, $A$-orthogonal, one could control this situation.

So, let $d_{0}, d_{1} \ldots d_{n-1}$ be a series of $A$-orthogonal (or conjugate) search directions, i.e. $\left(A d_{i}, d_{j}\right)=0, i \neq j$.

- Look for $u_{i+1}$ in the direction of $d_{i}$ such that it minimizes $f$ in this direction, i.e. set $u_{i+1}=u_{i}+\alpha_{i} d_{i}$ with $\alpha$ choosen from

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\frac{d}{d \alpha} f\left(u_{i}+\alpha d_{i}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(u_{i}+\alpha d_{i}\right) \cdot d_{i} \\
& =\left(b-A\left(u_{i}+\alpha d_{i}\right), d_{i}\right) \\
& =\left(b-A u_{i}, d_{i}\right)-\alpha\left(A d_{i}, d_{i}\right) \\
& =\left(r_{i}, d_{i}\right)-\alpha\left(A d_{i}, d_{i}\right) \\
\alpha_{i} & =\frac{\left(r_{i}, d_{i}\right)}{\left(A d_{i}, d_{i}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization

- Assume we have been given some linearly independent vectors $v_{0}, v_{1} \ldots v_{n-1}$.
- Set $d_{0}=v_{0}$
- Define

$$
d_{i}=v_{i}+\sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \beta_{i k} d_{k}
$$

- For $j<i$, A-project onto $d_{j}$ and require orthogonality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(A d_{i}, d_{j}\right) & =\left(A v_{i}, d_{j}\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \beta_{i k}\left(A d_{k}, d_{j}\right) \\
0 & =\left(A v_{i}, d_{j}\right)+\beta_{i j}\left(A d_{j}, d_{j}\right) \\
\beta_{i j} & =-\frac{\left(A v_{i}, d_{j}\right)}{\left(A d_{j}, d_{j}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- If $v_{i}$ are the coordinate unit vectors, this is Gaussian elimination!
- If $v_{i}$ are arbitrary, they all must be kept in the memory


## Conjugate gradients IV - The algorithm

Given initial value $u_{0}$, spd matrix A , right hand side $b$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{0} & =r_{0}=b-A u_{0} \\
\alpha_{i} & =\frac{\left(r_{i}, r_{i}\right)}{\left(A d_{i}, d_{i}\right)} \\
u_{i+1} & =u_{i}+\alpha_{i} d_{i} \\
r_{i+1} & =r_{i}-\alpha_{i} A d_{i} \\
\beta_{i+1} & =\frac{\left(r_{i+1}, r_{i+1}\right)}{\left(r_{i}, r_{i}\right)} \\
d_{i+1} & =r_{i+1}+\beta_{i+1} d_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

At the i-th step, the algorithm yields the element from $e_{0}+\mathcal{K}_{i}$ with the minimum energy error.

Theorem The convergence rate of the method is

$$
\left\|e_{i}\right\|_{A} \leq 2\left(\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}-1}{\sqrt{\kappa}+1}\right)^{i}\left\|e_{0}\right\|_{A}
$$

where $\kappa=\frac{\lambda_{\max }(A)}{\lambda_{\min }(A)}$ is the spectral condition number.

## Preconditioned CG II

Assume $\tilde{r}_{i}=E^{-1} r_{i}, \tilde{d}_{i}=E^{T} d_{i}$, we get the equivalent algorithm

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{0} & =b-A u_{0} \\
d_{0} & =M^{-1} r_{0} \\
\alpha_{i} & =\frac{\left(M^{-1} r_{i}, r_{i}\right)}{\left(A d_{i}, d_{i}\right)} \\
u_{i+1} & =u_{i}+\alpha_{i} d_{i} \\
r_{i+1} & =r_{i}-\alpha_{i} A d_{i} \\
\beta_{i+1} & =\frac{\left(M^{-1} r_{i+1}, r_{i+1}\right)}{\left(r_{i}, r_{i}\right)} \\
d_{i+1} & =M^{-1} r_{i+1}+\beta_{i+1} d_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

It relies on the solution of the preconditioning system, the calculation of the matrix vector product and the calculation of the scalar product.

## Examinations

Tue Feb 26.
Wed Feb 27.
Wed Mar 14.
Thu Mar 15.
Tue Mar 26.
Wed Mar 27.
Thu Mar 28.
Wed May 8. 14:00-17:00

- 13:00 times do not work! Please reschedule (sorry).

