

Some boundary triangles have larger than 90° angles opposite to the boundary \Rightarrow their circumcenters are outside of the domain





▶ For $1 \le p \le \infty$, let $L^p(\Omega)$ be the space of measureable functions such that

$$\int_{\Omega}|f(x)|^{p}dx<\infty$$

equipped with the norm

$$||f||_{p} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^{p} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

These spaces are Banach spaces, i.e. complete, normed vector spaces.
 The space L²(Ω) is a Hilbert space, i.e. a Banach space equipped with a scalar product (·, ·) whose norm is induced by that scalar product, i.e. ||u|| = √(u, u). The scalar product in L² is

$$(f,g) = \int_{\Omega} f(x)g(x)dx$$

21 / 159

Weak derivative

▶ Let $L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ the set of functions which are Lebesgue integrable on every compact subset $K \subset \Omega$. Let $C^\infty_0(\Omega)$ be the set of functions infinitely differentiable with zero values on the boundary.

For $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ we define $\partial_i u$ by

$$\int_{\Omega} v \partial_i u dx = - \int_{\Omega} u \partial_i v dx \quad \forall v \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$$

and $\partial^{\alpha} u$ by

$$\int_{\Omega} v \partial^{lpha} u dx = (-1)^{|lpha|} \int_{\Omega} u \partial_i v dx \quad \forall v \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$$

if these integrals exist.

▶ Green's theorem for smooth functions: Let $u, v \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ (continuously differentiable). Then for $\mathbf{n} = (n_1 \dots n_d)$ being the outward normal to Ω ,

$$\int_{\Omega} u \partial_i v dx = \int_{\partial \Omega} u v n_i ds - \int_{\Omega} v \partial_i u dx$$

In particular, if v = 0 on $\partial \Omega$ one has

$$\int_{\Omega} u\partial_i v dx = -\int_{\Omega} v\partial_i u dx$$

Sobolev spaces

► For $k \ge 0$ and $1 \le p < \infty$, the Sobolev space $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ is the space functions where all up to the *k*-th derivatives are in L^p :

$$W^{k,p}(\Omega) = \{ u \in L^p(\Omega) : \partial^{\alpha} u \in L^p(\Omega) \; \forall |\alpha| \le k \}$$

with then norm

$$||u||_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \le k} ||\partial^{\alpha}u||_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

- \blacktriangleright Alternatively, they can be defined as the completion of C^∞ in the norm $||u||_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)}$
- $W_0^{k,p}(\Omega)$ is the completion of C_0^{∞} in the norm $||u||_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)}$
- The Sobolev spaces are Banach spaces.











$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Lead interpolation III} \\ \text{(pod, candinal)} \\ \text{Let } + H^{-1}(\mathbf{r})_{(k)} \text{ got at } k \to 1^{-1}, \forall k \text{ into that } (2_{k}^{-1} - T_{k} + 2_{k}^{-1})_{(k)} \\ \text{ is } + 1^{-1}(\mathbf{r})_{k} + 2^{-1}(\mathbf{r})_{k} + 2^{-1}(\mathbf{r})_{k} + 2^{-1}(\mathbf{r})_{k} \\ \text{ is } + 2^{-1}(\mathbf{r})_{k} + 2^{-1}(\mathbf{r})_{k} + 2^{-1}(\mathbf{r})_{k} + 2^{-1}(\mathbf{r})_{k} \\ \text{ is } + 2^{-1}(\mathbf{r})_{k} + 2^{-1}(\mathbf{r})_{k} + 2^{-1}(\mathbf{r})_{k} + 2^{-1}(\mathbf{r})_{k} \\ \text{ is } + 2^{-1}(\mathbf{r})_{k} + 2^{-1}(\mathbf{r})_{k} + 2^{-1}(\mathbf{r})_{k} + 2^{-1}(\mathbf{r})_{k} \\ \text{ is } + 2^{-1}(\mathbf{r})_{k} + 2^{-1}(\mathbf{r})_{k} + 2^{-1}(\mathbf{r})_{k} \\ \text{ is } + 2^{-1}(\mathbf{r})_{k} + 2^{-1}(\mathbf{r})_{k} + 2^{-1}(\mathbf{r})_{k} \\ \text{ is } + 2^{-1}(\mathbf{r})_{k} \\ \text{ is }$$

$$\begin{split} s_{mn} &= \nabla \lambda_m \nabla \lambda_n \,\, dx \\ a_{j_{dof}(K,m), j_{dof}(K,n)} &= a_{j_{dof}(K,m), j_{dof}(K,n)} + s_{mn} \end{split}$$

88 / 159

 $s_{ij} = \int_K
abla \lambda_i
abla \lambda_j \, dx$

Local stiffness matrix calculation for P1 FEM in 2D II Local stiffness matrix calculation for P1 FEM in 2D $a_0 = (x_0, y_0) \dots a_d = (x_2, y_2)$: vertices of the simplex K, $a = (x, y) \in K$. $s_{ij} = \int_{K} \nabla \lambda_i \nabla \lambda_j \, dx = \frac{|K|}{4|K|^2} \left(y_{i+1} - y_{i+2}, x_{i+2} - x_{i+1} \right) \begin{pmatrix} y_{j+1} - y_{j+2} \\ x_{j+2} - x_{j+1} \end{pmatrix}$ Barycentric coordinates: $\lambda_j(x, y) = \frac{|\kappa_j(x, y)|}{|\kappa|}$ For indexing modulo d+1 we can write So, let $V = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 - x_0 & x_2 - x_0 \\ y_1 - y_0 & y_2 - y_0 \end{pmatrix}$ Then
$$\begin{split} |K| &= \frac{1}{2} \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{j+1} - x_j & x_{j+2} - x_j \\ y_{j+1} - y_j & y_{j+2} - y_j \end{pmatrix} \\ |K_j(x,y)| &= \frac{1}{2} \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{j+1} - x & x_{j+2} - x \\ y_{j+1} - y & y_{j+2} - y \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$
 $x_1 - x_2 = V_{00} - V_{01}$ $y_1 - y_2 = V_{10} - V_{11}$ Therefore, we have and $2|\mathcal{K}| \nabla \lambda_0 = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 - y_2 \\ x_2 - x_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{10} - V_{11} \\ V_{01} - V_{00} \end{pmatrix}$ $|K_{j}(x,y)| = \frac{1}{2} \left((x_{j+1} - x)(y_{j+2} - y) - (x_{j+2} - x)(y_{j+1} - y) \right)$ $2|K| \nabla \lambda_1 = \begin{pmatrix} y_2 - y_0 \\ x_0 - x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{11} \\ -V_{01} \end{pmatrix}$ $\partial_x |K_j(x,y)| = \frac{1}{2} ((y_{j+1} - y) - (y_{j+2} - y)) = \frac{1}{2} (y_{j+1} - y_{j+2})$ $\partial_{y}|K_{j}(x,y)| = \frac{1}{2}((x_{j+2}-x)-(x_{j+1}-x)) = \frac{1}{2}(x_{j+2}-x_{j+1})$ $2|K| \nabla \lambda_2 = \begin{pmatrix} y_0 - y_1 \\ x_1 - x_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -V_{10} \\ V_{00} \end{pmatrix}$ 89 / 159 Degree of freedom map representation for P1 finite elements Finite element assembly loop for (int icell=0; icell<ncells; icell++)
{</pre> // Fill matrix V
V(0,0)= points(cells(icell,1),0)- points(cells(icell,0),0);
V(0,1)= points(cells(icell,2),0)- points(cells(icell,0),0); V(1,0)= points(cells(icell,1),1)- points(cells(icell,0),1); V(1,1)= points(cells(icell,2),1)- points(cells(icell,0),1); // Compute determinant
double det=V(0,0)*V(1,1) - V(0,1)*V(1,0);
double invdet = 1.0/det; • List of global nodes $a_0 \dots a_N$: two dimensional array of coordinate values with N rows and d columns \blacktriangleright Local-global degree of freedom map: two-dimensional array C of index values with N_{el} rows and d+1 columns such that $C(i,m) = i_{dof}(K_i,m)$. > The mesh generator triangle generates this information directly SLocal(1,1)= invdet * (V(1,1)*V(1,1) + V(0,1)*V(0,1)); SLocal(1,2)= invdet * (-V(1,1)*V(1,0) - V(0,1)*V(0,0)); SLocal(2,2)= invdet * (V(1,0) * V(1,0) + V(0,0) * V(0,0)); SLocal(1,0)=SLocal(0,1); SLocal(2,0)=SLocal(0,2); SLocal(2,1)=SLocal(1,2); // Assemble into global stiffness matrix
for (int i=0;i<=ndim;i++)
for (int j=0;i<=ndim;i++)
SGlobal(cells(icell,i),cells(icell,j))+=SLocal(i,j);</pre> Affine transformation estimates I Local interpolation I \blacktriangleright \widehat{K} : reference element Let K ∈ T_h. Affine mapping: $T_K:\widehat{K}\to K$ For $w \in H^s(K)$ recall the H^s seminorm $|w|_{s,K}^2 = \sum_{|\beta|=s} ||\partial^\beta w||_{L^2(K)}^2$ $\widehat{x} \mapsto J_K \widehat{x} + b_K$ **Lemma:** Let $w \in H^{s}(K)$ and $\widehat{w} = w \circ T_{K}$. There exists a constant c such that with $J_{K} \in \mathbb{R}^{d,d}, b_{K} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, J_{K} nonsingular • Diameter of K: $h_K = \max_{x_1, x_2 \in K} ||x_1 - x_2||$ • ρ_K diameter of largest ball that can be inscribed into K $|\hat{w}|_{s,\hat{K}} \leq c ||J_{K}||^{s} |\det J_{K}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |w|_{s,K}$ • $\sigma_{\kappa} = \frac{h_{\kappa}}{\rho_{\kappa}}$: local shape regularity $|w|_{s,K} \leq c ||J_K^{-1}||^s |\det J_K|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\hat{w}|_{s,\hat{K}}$ Lemma $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad |\det J_K| = \frac{meas(K)}{meas(\tilde{K})} \\ \bullet \quad ||J_K|| \leq \frac{h_K}{\rho_{\tilde{K}}} \\ \bullet \quad ||J_K^{-1}|| \leq \frac{h_{\tilde{K}}}{\rho_K} \end{array}$ Local interpolation II Local interpolation: special cases for Lagrange finite elements **Theorem:** Let $\{\widehat{K}, \widehat{P}, \widehat{\Sigma}\}$ be a finite element with associated normed vector space $V(\widehat{K})$. Assume there exists k such that ▶ k = 1, l = 1, m = 0: $\mathbb{P}_{K} \subset \widehat{P} \subset H^{k+1}(\widehat{K}) \subset V(\widehat{K})$ $|v - \mathcal{I}_K^k v|_{0,K} \leq ch_K^2 |v|_{2,K}$ ▶ k = 1, l = 1, m = 1: and $H^{l+1}(\widehat{K}) \subset V(\widehat{K})$ for $0 \leq l \leq k$. There exists c > 0 such that for all $m = 0 \dots l + 1$, $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, $v \in H^{l+1}(K)$: $|\mathbf{v} - \mathcal{I}_{K}^{k}\mathbf{v}|_{1,K} \leq ch_{K}\sigma_{K}|\mathbf{v}|_{2,K}$ $|v - \mathcal{I}_{K}^{k}v|_{m,K} \leq ch_{K}^{l+1-m}\sigma_{K}^{m}|v|_{l+1,K}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Proper regularity} \\ & \text{Here existing a case of each of the particle of the property during a finite case of each of the particle of$$



 $u(x,y) = \sin(\pi x)\sin(\pi y)$

- Testing approach: generate series of finer grids with triangle, by control the triangle are parameter according to the desired mesh size h.
- Do we get the theoretical error estimates ?
- We did not talk about error estimates for the finite volume method. What can we expect ?
- ▶ For simplicity, we calculate not ||u_{exact} u_h|| but Π_hu_{exact} u_h|| where Π_h is the P1 nodal interpolation operator.
- More precise test would have to involve high order quadrature for calculation of the norm

Time dependent Robin boundary value problem

• Choose final time T > 0. Regard functions $(x, t) \to \mathbb{R}$.

$$\partial_t u - \nabla \cdot \kappa \nabla u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [0, T]$$

$$\kappa \nabla u \cdot \vec{n} + \alpha (u - g) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \times [0, T]$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \quad \text{in} \Omega$$

- This is an initial boundary value problem
- This problem has a weak formulation in the Sobolev space $L^{2}([0, T], H^{1}(\Omega))$, which then allows for a Galerkin approximation in a corresponding subspace
- ► We will proceed in a simpler manner: first, perform a finite difference discretization in time, then perform a finite element (finite volume) discretization in space.

 - Rothe method: first discretize in time, then in space
 Method of lines: first discretize in space, get a huge ODE system

Time discretization

109/159

• Choose time discretization points $0 = t_0 < t_1 \cdots < t_N = T$, let $\tau_i = t_i - t_{i-1}$ For $i = 1 \dots N$, solve

$$\frac{u_i - u_{i-1}}{\tau_i} - \nabla \cdot \kappa \nabla u_{\theta} = f \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [0, T]$$

$$\kappa \nabla u_{\theta} \cdot \vec{n} + \alpha (u_{\theta} - g) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \times [0, T]$$

where $u_{\theta} = \theta u_i + (1 - \theta) u_{i-1}$

• $\theta = 1$: backward (implicit) Euler method

Theoretical estimates are reproduced

Useful test for debugging code...

- $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$: Crank-Nicolson scheme
- $\theta = 0$: forward (explicit) Euler method
- Note that the explicit Euler method does not involve the solution of a PDE system. What do we have to pay for this ?

Wexh formalism
Wexh formalism
* Use humanisms muscle < P(f) much dis
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} d_{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} (\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d_{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} (\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d_{n} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d_{n$$

Backward Euler Estimate Backward Euler Estimate II Theorem: Assume S has the sign pattern of an M-Matrix with row sum zero, and D is a nonnegative diagonal matrix. Then $||(\textit{I} + D + \textit{S})^{-1}||_{\infty} \leq 1$ **Proof:** Assume that $||(I + S)^{-1}||_{\infty} > 1$. We know that $(I + S)^{-1}$ has positive entries. Then for α_{ij} being the entries of $(I + S)^{-1}$, I + A = I + D + S $=(I+D)(I+D)^{-1}(I+D+S)$ $\max_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij} > 1.$ $=(I+D)(I+A_{D0})$ Let k be a row where the maximum is reached. Let $e = (1 \dots 1)^T$. Then for $v = (I + A)^{-1}e$ we have that v > 0, $v_k > 1$ and $v_k \ge v_j$ for all $j \ne k$. The kth with $A_{D0} = (I + D)^{-1}S$ has row sum zero Thus equation of e = (I + A)v then looks like $||(I + A)^{-1}||_{\infty} = ||(I + A_{D0})^{-1}(I + D)^{-1}||_{\infty}$ $\leq ||(I+D)^{-1}||_{\infty}$
$$\begin{split} 1 &= v_k + v_k \sum_{j \neq k} |s_{kj}| - \sum_{j \neq k} |s_{kj}| v_j \\ &\geq v_k + v_k \sum_{j \neq k} |s_{kj}| - \sum_{j \neq k} |s_{kj}| v_k \end{split}$$
because all main diagonal entries of I+D are greater or equal to 1. \Box This contradiction enforces $||(I + S)^{-1}||_{\infty} \leq 1$. 121 / 159 122 / 159 Backward Euler Estimate III Discrete maximum principle Assuming $v \ge 0$ we can conclude $u \ge 0$. $\frac{1}{\tau}Mu + (D+S)u = \frac{1}{\tau}Mv$ $\begin{aligned} \tau^{m(u)} + (v_{i} + v_{j}) &= \tau \\ (\tau m_{i} + d_{i})u_{i} + s_{ii} u_{i} = \tau m_{i}v_{i} + \sum_{i \neq j} (-s_{ij})u_{j} \\ u_{i} &= \frac{1}{\tau m_{i} + d_{i} + \sum_{i \neq j} (-s_{ij})} (\tau m_{i}v_{i} + \sum_{i \neq j} (-s_{ij})u_{j}) \\ &\leq \frac{\tau m_{i}v_{i} + \sum_{i \neq j} (-s_{ij})u_{j}}{\tau m_{i} + d_{i} + \sum_{i \neq j} (-s_{ij})} \max(\{v_{i}\} \cup \{u_{j}\}_{j \neq i}) \end{aligned}$ We can estimate that $I + \tau_i M^{-1} A = I + \tau_i M^{-1} D + \tau_i M^{-1} S$ and obtain $||(I + \tau_i M^{-1} A)^{-1}||_{\infty} \le 1$ We get this stability independent of the time step $\leq \max(\{y_i\} \mid \{y_i\}, \dots)$ Another theory is possible using L² estimates and positive definiteness Provided, the right hand side is zero, the solution in a given node is bounded by the value from the old timestep, and by the solution in the neigboring points. No new local maxima can appear during time evolution There is a continuous counterpart which can be derived from weak solution M-property is crucial for the proof. 124/15 The finite volume idea revisited Finite volume local stiffness matrix calculation Assume Ω is a polygon
 Subdivide the domain Ω into a finite number of control volumes : $a_0 = (x_0, y_0) \dots a_d = (x_2, y_2)$: vertices of the simplex K Calculate the contribution from triangle to $\frac{\sigma_{kl}}{h_{kl}}$ in the finite volume discretization $\bar{\Omega} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathcal{N}} \bar{\omega}_k$ such that $\begin{aligned} & \omega_k \mbox{ are open (not containing their boundary) convex domains} \\ & \omega_k \cap \omega_l = \emptyset \mbox{ if } \omega_k \neq \omega_l \\ & \sigma_{kl} = \widetilde{\omega}_k \cap \widetilde{\omega}_l \mbox{ are either empty, points or straight lines} \\ & we will write |\sigma_{kl}| \mbox{ for the length} \\ & & \text{ if } |\sigma_{kl}| > 0 \mbox{ we say that } \omega_k, \ \omega_l \mbox{ are neigbours} \\ & & \text{ neigbours of } \omega_k \colon \mathcal{N}_k = \{I \in \mathcal{N} : |\sigma_{kl}| > 0\} \end{aligned}$ ωs ► To each control volume ω_k assign a **collocation point**: $\mathbf{x}_k \in \bar{\omega}_k$ such that ► admissibility condition: if $l \in \mathcal{N}_k$ then the line $\mathbf{x}_k \mathbf{x}_l$ is orthogonal to σ_{kl} ► if ω_k is situated at the boundary, i.e. $\gamma_k = \partial \omega_k \cap \partial \Omega \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathbf{x}_k \in \partial \Omega$ Let $h_i = ||a_{i+1} - a_{i+2}||$ (*i* counting modulo 2) be the lengths of the discretization ×1 edges. Let A be the area of the triangle. Then for the contribution from the triangle to the form factor one has $\frac{|s_i|}{h_i} = \frac{1}{84} (h_{i+1}^2 + h_{i+2}^2 - h_i^2)$ Now, we know how to construct this partition $|\omega_i| = (|s_{i+1}|h_{i+1} + |s_{i+2}|h_{i+2})/4$ obtain a boundary conforming Delaunay triangulation
 construct restricted Voronoi cells 125 / 159 126 / 159 Finite volume local stiffness matrix calculation II Finite volume local stiffness matrix calculation III Square of the Voronoi surface contribution via Pythagoras: $s_{a}^{2} = R^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{2}a\right)^{2} = -\frac{a^{2}\left(a^{2} - b^{2} - c^{2}\right)^{2}}{4\left(a - b - c\right)\left(a - b + c\right)\left(a + b - c\right)\left(a + b + c\right)}$ Square of edge contribution in the finite volume method: $e_{a}^{2} = \frac{s_{a}^{2}}{a^{2}} = -\frac{\left(a^{2} - b^{2} - c^{2}\right)^{2}}{4\left(a - b - c\right)\left(a - b + c\right)\left(a + b - c\right)\left(a + b + c\right)}$ Comparison with pdelib formula: Triangle edge lengths: $e_a^2 - \frac{(b^2 + c^2 - a^2)^2}{64A^2} = 0$ a, b, c Semiperimeter: $s = \frac{a}{2} + \frac{b}{2} + \frac{c}{2}$ This implies the formula for the edge contribution Square area (from Heron's formula): $e_a = \frac{s_a}{a} = \frac{b^2 + c^2 - a^2}{8A}$ $16A^{2} = 16s(s-a)(s-b)(s-c) = (-a+b+c)(a-b+c)(a+b-c)(a+b+c)$

Square circumradius:

 $R^{2} = \frac{a^{2}b^{2}c^{2}}{(-a+b+c)(a-b+c)(a+b-c)(a+b+c)} = \frac{a^{2}b^{2}c^{2}}{16A^{2}}$

The sign chosen implies a positive value if the angle $\alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$, and a negative value if it is obtuse. In the latter case, this corresponds to the negative length of the line between edge midpoint and circumcenter, which is exactly the value which needs to be added to the corresponding amount from the opposite triangle in order to obtain the measure of the Voronoi face.



Central difference flux:

 $g(u_k, u_l) = D(u_k - u_l) - h_{kl} \frac{1}{2} (u_k + u_l) v_{kl}$ $= (D - \frac{1}{2} h_{kl} v_{kl}) u_k - (D + \frac{1}{2} h_{kl} v_{kl}) \times u_l$

▶ M-Property (sign pattern) only guaranteed for $h \rightarrow 0$!

Upwind flux:

$$\begin{split} g(u_k, u_l) &= D(u_k - u_l) + \begin{cases} h_{kl} u_k v_{kl}, & v_{kl} < 0\\ h_{kl} u_l v_{kl}, & v_{kl} > 0 \end{cases} \\ &= (D + \tilde{D})(u_k - u_l) - h_{kl} \frac{1}{2}(u_k + u_l) v_{kl} \end{split}$$

• M-Property guaranteed unconditonally ! • Artificial diffusion $\tilde{D} = \frac{1}{2} h_{kl} |v_{kl}|$

Exponential fitting II

Solution of the inhomogeneous problem: set K = K(x):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}' \exp(-qx) - q\mathcal{K} \exp(-qx) + q\mathcal{K} \exp(-qx) &= j \\ \mathcal{K}' &= j \exp(qx) \\ \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}_0 + \frac{1}{q} j \exp(qx) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$c = K_0 \exp(-qx) + rac{1}{q}j$$

 $c_K = K_0 + rac{1}{q}j$
 $c_L = K_0 \exp(-qh) + rac{1}{q}j$

Project equation onto edge $x_{K}x_{L}$ of length $h = h_{kl}$, integrate once - $q = -v_{kl}$

c' + cq = j $c|_0 = c_K$ $c|_h = c_L$

Solution of the homogeneus problem:

Exponential fitting III

$$c' = -cq$$
$$c'/c = -q$$
$$\ln c = c_0 - qx$$
$$c = K \exp(-qx)$$

134 / 159

Use boundary conditions $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{0} &= \frac{c_{\mathcal{K}} - c_{L}}{1 - \exp(-qh)} \\ c_{\mathcal{K}} &= \frac{c_{\mathcal{K}} - c_{L}}{1 - \exp(-qh)} + \frac{1}{q}j \\ j &= qc_{\mathcal{K}} - \frac{q}{1 - \exp(-qh)}(c_{\mathcal{K}} - c_{L}) \\ &= q(1 - \frac{1}{1 - \exp(-qh)})c_{\mathcal{K}} - \frac{q}{\exp(-qh) - 1}c_{L} \\ &= q(\frac{-\exp(-qh)}{1 - \exp(-qh)})c_{\mathcal{K}} - \frac{q}{\exp(-qh) - 1}c_{L} \\ &= \frac{-q}{\exp(qh) - 1}c_{\mathcal{K}} - \frac{q}{\exp(-qh) - 1}c_{L} \\ &= \frac{B(-qh)c_{L} - B(qh)c_{\mathcal{K}}}{L} \end{aligned}$

where $B(\xi) = \frac{\xi}{\exp(\xi)-1}$: Bernoulli function







- - 1. Solve $A(u_0, 0) = f$ choose step size δ Set $\lambda = 0$
 - 2. Solve $A(u_{\lambda+\delta}, \lambda+\delta) = 0$ with initial value u_{λ} . Possibly decrease δ to

 - 2. Solve $A(u_{\lambda+\delta}, \lambda+\delta)$ achieve convergence 3. Set $\lambda \leftarrow \lambda + \delta$ 4. Possibly increase δ
 - 5. Repeat from 2) until $\lambda = 1$

Parameter embedding + damping + update based convergence control go a long way to solve even strongly nonlinear problems!