< □ > < Ξ >

WIAS

Weierstraß-Institut für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik

Mark Lichtner

Institutskolloquium

Principle of Linearized Stability and Invariant Manifold Theorem for Semilinear Hyperbolic Systems with Applications to Semiconductor Laser Dynamics

Introduction

- Principle of linearized stability
- Growth and spectral bound, exponential dichotomy for linearized dynamical systems
- Center manifold theorem
- Linearization of semilinear hyperbolic systems
 - The variation of constants formula
 - Sun star calculus
- Stability and dichotomy for linearized hyperbolic systems
 - Proof of principle of linearized stability and center manifold theorem for semilinear hyperbolic systems

Applications

Dynamical System

Given a dynamical system

$$\frac{d}{dt}x = f(x).$$

- \triangleright State $x \in X$
- ▷ ODE:
 - $\stackrel{\triangleright}{} X = \mathbb{R}^n$ $\stackrel{\triangleright}{} f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \text{ ist } C^k \text{ smooth}$

▷ PDE:

▷ X Banach-space

 \triangleright f a densely defined operator

Determining the stability of stationary states

Let x_0 be a stationary state.

1. Linearize in x_0 :

$$\frac{d}{dt}h = Df(x_0)h.$$

- 2. Determine the stability of the linearized problem:
 - ▷ Locate the spectrum of $Df(x_0)$.
- **3.** Prove that the nonlinear problem is stable near x_0 .

Theorem (Principle of linearized stability)

Suppose there exists s < 0, so that for all $\lambda \in \sigma(Df(x_0))$

 $\Re\mathfrak{e}\lambda\leq s<0.$

Then x_0 is exponentially stable.

Approximation of the nonlinear dynamics via the linearized dynamics

▷ For the proof we need that the linearization $Df(x_0)$ is a good approximation for f near x_0 .

- PDE: The operator f contains nonlinear Nemytskij operators. Their differentiability properties depend on the topology of the Banach-space X.
 - ▷ Usually it is not enough to consider only one Banach space X. Often we need a triple or even scale of Banach spaces.

- ▷ As is well known in finite dimensions the stability of the linear system $\frac{d}{dt}h = Df(x_0)h$ is determined by the eigenvalues (spectrum) of the matrix $Df(x_0)$.
- In infinite dimensions, where X is a Banach-space, the issue is more complex.
- ▷ The appropriate abstract setting is provided by the theory of C_0 semigroups $(e^{At})_{t\geq 0}$ of bounded linear operators on the Banach-space X.

Growth and spectral bound

Definition

Let $A = Df(x_0)$ be a generator of a C_0 semigroup e^{At} . The spectral bound s(A) ist defined as

$$s(A) := \sup \left\{ \mathfrak{Re} \, z \mid z \in \sigma(A) \right\}.$$

The growth bound $\omega(A)$ is per definitionem

$$\omega(A) := \inf \left\{ \omega \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists_{M=M(\omega)>0} : \left\| e^{At} \right\| \le M e^{\omega t} \text{ for } t \ge 0 \right\}.$$

 $\triangleright \omega(A) = s(A)$ for ODEs, DDEs, semilinear parabolic PDEs.

- ▷ In general: $\omega(A) \ge s(A)$, equality must not hold.
- \triangleright Warning: There exists a counterexample of a 2d wave equation with

$$\omega(A) > s(A).$$

Determining the growth bound $\omega(A)$

Proposition

For t > 0

$$\omega(A) = \frac{\log r(e^{At})}{t},$$

where $r(e^{At}) := \sup \{ |z| \mid z \in \sigma(e^{At}) \}$ denotes the spectral radius of the semigroup e^{At} .

Method for determining the growth bound ω :

- \triangleright Calculate $\sigma(A)$ by solving spectral problem.
- ▷ Important open question for hyperbolic PDEs: Can the unknown spectrum $\sigma(e^{At})$ of the semigroup be calculated from the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ of the generator A (the equations of the PDE) ?

Theorem

For hyperbolic systems in 1d the answer is positive: $\omega(A) = s(A)$.

Linearized Stability and Invariant Manifold Theorem for Semilinear Hyperbolic Systems 12.2.2007 8 (42) |w| 1 | A | s

Existence of center manifolds

Assumptions:

- $\triangleright s(A) \leq 0$
- $\triangleright \ E_c := \sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$
- \triangleright Spectral gap: There exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid -\delta < \mathfrak{Re} \, z < 0\} \subset \rho(A).$$

Let $\pi_c: X^{\mathbb{C}} \to X^{\mathbb{C}}$ denote spectral projection corresponding to the critical eigenvalues E_c , where $X^{\mathbb{C}}$ denotes complexification of X. Further let

$$X_c := X \cap \operatorname{Im}(\pi_c) = X \cap \bigoplus_{\lambda \in E_c} \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Ker} \left(\lambda \operatorname{Id} - A\right)^j,$$
$$X_s := X \cap \operatorname{Ker}(\pi_c).$$

Spectrum of the traveling wave operator (LDSL)



Linearized Stability and Invariant Manifold Theorem for Semilinear Hyperbolic Systems 12.2.2007 10 (42)

Spectral gap and exponential dichotomy

For ordinary differential equations, delay equations and semilinear parabolic PDEs it is known, that the spectral gap condition generates an exponential dichotomy on the spectral decompositions.

$$\begin{array}{l} \triangleright \quad \operatorname{Let} \ T_c(t) := e_{|X_c}^{At} \ \operatorname{and} \ T_s(t) := e_{|X_s}^{At} \\ \triangleright \ \exists c > 0 : \|T_s(t)\| \leq c e^{-\delta t} \quad \text{for} \ t \geq 0 \\ \triangleright \ \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists d > 0 : \|T_c(-t)\| \leq d e^{\epsilon t} \end{array}$$

- Exponential dichotomy is necessary for the proof of center manifold theorem.
 - \triangleright If there is no exponential dichotomy, it is known due to a result of Mane, that the critical eigenspace X_c does not persist under small nonlinear perturbations.

Theorem

There exists a neighbourhood U of zero in X and a smooth graph $\gamma: X_c \cap U \to X_s$ with the following properties:

- ▷ the manifold $M := \{x_0 + x_c + \gamma(x_c) \mid x_c \in U \cap X_c\}$ is locally invariant and exponentially attractive with respect to the nonlinear semiflow,
- \triangleright any solution $u: \mathbb{R} \to x_0 + U$ lies on M,
- \triangleright the trajectories on M are governed by the equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}x_c = Df(x_0)x_c + \pi_c r(x_c + \gamma(x_c)),$$

where the remainder r is of order 2.

Core problems for existence of invariant manifolds in infinite dimensional dynamical systems

- ▷ Does a spectral gap generate an exponential dichotomy ?
- \triangleright Does the evolution equation form a smooth semiflow on X ? Is the solution map linearizable with respect to the norm of X ?
- \triangleright If yes, for which Banach-spaces are both properties fulfilled ?

These issues have been resolved for large classes of semilinear parabolic PDEs and DDEs, but not for hyperbolic PDEs.

A general class of semilinear hyperbolic systems

$$(SH) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} u(t,x) \\ v(t,x) \end{pmatrix} = K(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \begin{pmatrix} u(t,x) \\ v(t,x) \end{pmatrix} + H(x,u(t,x),v(t,x)), \\ v(t,l) = D u(t,l), \\ u(t,0) = E v(t,0). \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \triangleright \ x \in \left]0, l[, \ t > 0 \\ \\ \triangleright \ u \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}, \ v \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}, \ n = n_1 + n_2, \ D, \ E \ \text{matrices} \\ \\ \\ \triangleright \ K(x) = \text{diag} \ (k_j(x))_{1 \leq j \leq n}, \ k_j \in C^1([0, l], \mathbb{R}), \\ \\ k_j < 0 \quad 1 \leq j \leq n_1, \quad k_j > 0 \quad n_1 + 1 \leq j \leq n. \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array}$$

Variation of constants formula

Let T(t) be the reflection / shift semigroup generated by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} u(t,x)\\v(t,x) \end{pmatrix} = K(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \begin{pmatrix} u(t,x)\\v(t,x) \end{pmatrix}, \\ u(t,0) = E v(t,0), \quad v(t,l) = Du(t,l) \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x), \quad v(0,x) = v_0(x). \end{cases}$$

The nonlinearity $H:]0, l[\times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ generates a Nemytskij operator: For $u:]0, l[\to \mathbb{R}^{n_1}, v:]0, l[\to \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$

$$\mathfrak{H}\left(u,v\right)(x):=H(x,u(x),v(x)).$$

Formally the variation of constants formula for (SH) reads

Which choice of space X ?

It is tempting to take the Hilbert space $L^2(]0, l[, \mathbb{R}^n)$ for X:

- $\triangleright T(t)$ is strongly continuous on L^2 .
- \triangleright The Nemytskij operator \mathfrak{H} ist not well defined on L^2 .
 - ▷ Need to truncate the nonlinearity H so that the Nemytskij operator becomes well defined and globally Lipschitz on L^2 .
- ▷ But still it is not Fréchet differentiable due to the (rather surprising) fact that $\mathfrak{H}: L^2 \to L^2$ is differentiable at some $(u, v) \in L^2(]0, l[, \mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if for almost all $x \in]0, l[$ the function $z \mapsto H(x, z)$ is affine.

A good choice for X

Take

$$X := \Big\{ (u, v) \in C([0, l], \mathbb{R}^n) \mid u(0) = Ev(0), \quad v(l) = Du(l) \Big\}.$$

- \triangleright T(t) is strongly continuous on X.
- ▷ But \mathfrak{H} maps X out to a larger space: If $(u, v) \in X$ then $\mathfrak{H}(u, v) \notin X$ for almost any choice of H and (u, v).
- \triangleright Need to enlarge the space X !

Enlarging X, the sun star space

Idea: Construct a larger space in terms of a combination of properties of the space X and the semigroup T.

- \triangleright Let $T^*(t): X^* \to X^*$ be the adjoint semigroup
- ▷ Then $t \to T^*(t)x^*$ is not necessarily continuous (even not Bochner measurable, but weak star continuous). Let

$$X^{\odot} := \left\{ x^* \in X^* \mid \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \|T^*(t)x^* - x^*\| = 0 \right\}$$

be the subspace on which T^* is strongly continuous.

- $^{\triangleright} \text{ Define } j: X \to X^{\odot *} \text{, } \langle jx, x^{\odot} \rangle := \langle x^{\odot}, x \rangle \text{ } (X^{\odot *} := (X^{\odot})^{*})$
- ${}^{\vartriangleright}~j$ is injective since X^{\odot} is weak star dense in X^* , hence

$$X {\stackrel{j}{\hookrightarrow}} X^{\odot *}$$

Enlarging X, the sun star space

Put

$$T^{\odot}(t) := T^*(t)_{|X^{\odot}}.$$

By definition $T^{\odot}(t): X^{\odot} \to X^{\odot}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup. Again consider the adjoint semigroup $T^{\odot*}(t) = (T^{\odot}(t))^*: X^{\odot*} \to X^{\odot*}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x^{\odot} \in X^{\odot} : \langle T^{\odot*}(t)jx, x^{\odot} \rangle &= \langle jx, T^{\odot}(t)x^{\odot} \rangle \\ &= \langle T^{\odot}(t)x^{\odot}, x \rangle \\ &= \langle x^{\odot}, T(t)x \rangle \\ &= \langle j(T(t)x), x^{\odot} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$$\,\triangleright\,$$
 Hence $j(T(t)x)=T^{\odot*}(t)jx$ or
$$j\circ T(t)=T^{\odot*}(t)\circ j.$$

Enlarging X, the sun star space





The sun star space for hyperbolic systems with reflection boundary conditions

Theorem

$$X^{\odot *}$$
 is isomorphic to $L^{\infty}([0,l],\mathbb{R}^n)$.

For
$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \in X$$
: $T^{\odot *}(t) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = T(t) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}$

The main advantages of using the space X together with its sun dual $X^{\odot *}$ are based on the following two Lemmas:

Lemma

If H(x,z) is measurable with respect to x and smooth with respect to z then the Nemytskij operator $\mathfrak{H}(u,v)(x) := H(x,u(x),v(x))$ is a smooth map from X into $X^{\odot *}$.

Variation of constants formula

Moreover we get back from $X^{\odot*}$ into the small space X:

Lemma

Let $f:[0,T] \to X^{\odot*}$ be norm continuous. Then the weak-star integral

$$t \mapsto \int_0^t T^{\odot *}(t-s)f(s)\,ds$$

is norm continuous and takes values in X.

Definition (Variation of constants formula)

 $(u,v)\in C([0,T],X)$ is called a mild (or weak) solution to (SH) if

$$\begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \end{pmatrix} = T(t) \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \end{pmatrix} + \int_0^t T^{\odot*}(t-s)\mathfrak{H}(u(s), v(s)) \, ds.$$

Some straightforward consequences

Theorem (Unique local existence)

For any $(u_0, v_0) \in X$ there exists a $\delta > 0$, depending only on $\|(u_0, v_0)\|_X$, \mathfrak{H} and T(t), such that (SH) has a unique mild solution $(u, v) \in C([0, \delta], X)$ with $u(0) = u_0$, $v(0) = v_0$.

Theorem

Let $z \in C([0,T],X)$ be a weak solution of (SH). Then there exists a neighborhood U of z(0) in X such that for all $y_0 \in U$ there is a weak solution $y \in C([0,T],X)$ of (SH) satisfying $y(0) = y_0$. There exists a constant c > 0 such that for all $y_0 \in U$

$$||z(t) - y(t)||_X \le c ||z(0) - y_0||_X.$$

The smooth semiflow

Suppose there exists a weak solution $z \in C([0,T], X)$ of (SH). Then according to the last Theorem there exists an open neighborhood U of z(0) in X so that we can define a solution map

$$S^t: U \to X, \quad S^t(y_0) := y(t) \quad (t \in [0,T]).$$

Theorem (Smooth semiflow property)

For each $t \in [0,T]$ the map $S^t : U \to X$ is C^k smooth. The map $(t,u) \mapsto S^t u$ is continuous from $[0,T] \times U$ into X. The total derivative DS^t , $\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{h_u}(t) \\ \tilde{h_v}(t) \end{pmatrix} = DS^t \begin{pmatrix} h_u \\ h_v \end{pmatrix}$ satisfies the equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_u(t)\\ \tilde{h_v}(t) \end{pmatrix} = T(t) \begin{pmatrix} h_u\\ h_v \end{pmatrix} + \int_0^t T^{\odot*}(t-s) D\mathfrak{H}(u(s), v(s)) \begin{pmatrix} h_u(s)\\ \tilde{h_v}(s) \end{pmatrix} \, ds.$$

Linearization of (SH)

Let (u_0, v_0) be a stationary state. Then the last theorem states that the linearized flow $DS^t(u_0, v_0)$ is given by the mild solutions to the linearized system

$$(LH) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} u(t,x)\\v(t,x) \end{pmatrix} = K(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \begin{pmatrix} u(t,x)\\v(t,x) \end{pmatrix} \\ +\partial_{(u,v)} H(x,u_0(x),v_0(x)) \begin{pmatrix} u(t,x)\\v(t,x) \end{pmatrix}, \\ v(t,l) = Du(t,l), \quad u(t,0) = E v(t,0). \end{cases}$$

Proposition

The linearized flow $DS^t(u_0, v_0)$ is a C_0 semigroup e^{At} on X with infinitesimal generator

$$A\begin{pmatrix} u\\ v \end{pmatrix} = K(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\begin{pmatrix} u(x)\\ v(x) \end{pmatrix} + \partial_{(u,v)}H(x,u_0(x),v_0(x))\begin{pmatrix} u(x)\\ v(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Definition

Let $\alpha < \beta$. A has a (α, β) exponential dichotomy, if there exists a projection $\pi : X^{\mathbb{C}} \to X^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{l} \triangleright \ \pi e^{At} = e^{At}\pi \\ \triangleright \ \operatorname{For} \ T_1(t) := e^{At}_{|\operatorname{Im}(\pi)} \ \text{and} \ T_2(t) := e^{At}_{|\operatorname{Ker}(\pi)} \\ \\ \quad \triangleright \ \omega(T_1(t)) \leq \alpha \\ \quad \triangleright \ T_2(t) \ \text{extends to a group with} \ \omega(T_2(-t)) \leq -\beta \end{array}$$

(α,β) exponential dichotomy



Linearized Stability and Invariant Manifold Theorem for Semilinear Hyperbolic Systems 12.2.2007 27 (42)

Characterization of exponential dichotomy

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- \triangleright A has a (α, β) exponential dichotomy.
- $^{\triangleright} \forall_{t>0} : \quad \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid e^{\alpha t} < |\lambda| < e^{\beta t}\} \subset \rho(e^{At}).$

$$\ \ \exists t_0 > 0: \quad \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid e^{\alpha t_0} < |\lambda| < e^{\beta t_0}\} \subset \rho(e^{At_0}).$$

- \triangleright Exponential dichotomy means that there is a circular spectral gap for the semigroup e^{At} .
- $^{\triangleright}$ Does a spectral gap condition on A imply the presence of a circular spectral gap for e^{At} ?

Spectral mapping theorems for linearized hyperbolic systems

Theorem

$$\begin{array}{l} \triangleright \ \sigma(e^{At}) \setminus \{0\} = \overline{e^{\sigma(A)t}} \setminus \{0\} \ \text{in } L^2([0,l],\mathbb{C}^n) \\ \\ \triangleright \ \ln X^{\mathbb{C}} = \{(u,v) \in C([0,l],\mathbb{C}^n) \mid u(0) = Ev(0), \ v(l) = Du(l)\} \ \text{for} \\ \text{all } \alpha < \beta \ \text{and} \ t > 0 \ \text{we have} \end{array}$$

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \alpha < \mathfrak{Re} \, z < \beta\} \subset \rho(A)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \left\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid e^{\alpha t} < |z| < e^{\beta t}\right\} \subset \rho(e^{At}).$$

Corollary

If
$$\alpha < \beta$$
 and $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \alpha < \Re \mathfrak{e} \ \lambda < \beta\} \subset \rho(A)$, then A has a (α, β) exponential dichotomy.

Linearized Stability and Invariant Manifold Theorem for Semilinear Hyperbolic Systems 12.2.2007 29 (42)

Spectral mapping theorem $\sigma(e^{At}) = \overline{e^{\sigma(A)t}}$



Linearized Stability and Invariant Manifold Theorem for Semilinear Hyperbolic Systems 12.2.2007 30 (42)

- High frequency estimates of spectrum and resolvent parallel to the imaginary axis:
 - ▷ For high frequencies spectrum and resolvent are approximated by the diagonal system.
 - ▷ For λ on stripes in the resolvent set parallel to the imaginary axis we have for $|\Im \mathfrak{m} \lambda|$ sufficiently large

$$R(\lambda, A) = R(\lambda, A_0) + \frac{1}{\lambda}R_1(\lambda) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right).$$

- \triangleright A_0 denotes the diagonal system, obtained by cancelling all nondiagonal entries in the linearized differential equation. Since equations decouple there is a closed formula for $R(\lambda, A_0)$.
- ▷ Error term $R_1(\lambda)$ as well as higher order terms can be calculated recursively (terms quite complicated).

Theorem

There exists an exponential polynomial h_0 and an entire (characteristic) function h with the following properties:

$${}^{\triangleright} \ \sigma(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid h(\lambda) = 0\},\$$

$$\triangleright \ \sigma(A_0) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid h_0(\lambda) = 0\},\$$

▷ For all r > 0 there exist c, d > 0 such that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\Re \mathfrak{e} \lambda| < r$ und $|\Im \mathfrak{m} \lambda| > d$ we have:

$$\left|h(\lambda) - h_0(\lambda) - \frac{1}{\lambda}h_1(\lambda)\right| \le c\frac{1}{|\lambda|^2},$$

 \triangleright There is a closed formula for h_1 (quite complicated).

Theorem

Let $U \subset \rho(A)$ be such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in U} |\mathfrak{Re}\,\lambda| < \infty, \quad \inf_{\lambda \in U} |h_0(\lambda)| > 0.$$

Then there exists d > 0 such that for $\lambda \in U$ with $|\Im \mathfrak{m} \lambda| \ge d$

- $P R(\lambda, A) = R(\lambda, A_0) + \frac{1}{\lambda} R_1(\lambda, A) + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \mathcal{E}(\lambda, A),$
- \triangleright $R(\lambda, A_0)$, $R_1(\lambda, A)$ and $\mathcal{E}(\lambda, A)$ are bounded on U,
- \triangleright There are closed formulas for $R_1(\lambda, A)$ and $R(\lambda, A_0)$.

▷ In particular the resolvent $R(\lambda, A)$ is bounded on U.

 \triangleright By applying an important theorem due to Gearhart/Herbst/Prüss [Trans. AMS 1984] the resolvent estimates imply the following spectral mapping property for linearized hyperbolic systems in the Hilbert space L^2

$$\sigma(e^{At}) \setminus \{0\} = \overline{e^{\sigma(A)t}} \setminus \{0\}.$$

- ▷ Problem: theorem of Gearhart/Herbst/Prüß requires Hilbert-space.
- \triangleright The semiflow is not strongly linearizable in L^2 .
- \triangleright We need a spectral mapping theorem or characterization of exponential dichotomy in terms of the spectrum of A in the smaller nonreflexive Banach-space $X^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Spectral mapping property in non Hilbert-space $X^{\mathbb{C}}$

- For Banach-space the situation is more difficult. Counterexamples show that Gearhart/Herbst/Prüss spectral mapping theorem fails, in general.
- \triangleright Idea: Use C_1 Laplace-inversionformula. For $\rho > \omega(A)$

$$\begin{split} e^{At}x &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\rho-i\infty}^{C_1} \int_{\rho-i\infty}^{\rho+i\infty} e^{zt} R(z,A) x \, dz \\ &:= \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{R \to \infty} e^{\rho t} \int_{-R}^{R} e^{i\nu t} R(\rho+i\nu,A) x \left(1-\frac{|\nu|}{R}\right) d\nu. \end{split}$$

 \triangleright Works also in non Hilbert-space $X^{\mathbb{C}}$!

Characterization of (α, β) dichotomy in $X^{\mathbb{C}}$

Theorem (1994 Lunel, Kaashoek in J. Diff. Eq.)

A has a (α, β) exponential dichotomy if and only if

$$\begin{split} i) \quad \rho(A) \supset \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \alpha < \mathfrak{Re} \ \lambda < \beta \right\}, \\ n) \text{For all } \delta > 0: \sup_{\alpha + \delta < \mathfrak{Re} \lambda < \beta - \delta} \|R(\lambda, A)\| < \infty, \\ un) \text{For all } \rho \in \left] \alpha, \beta \right[\text{ there exists a constant } K_{\rho} > 0 \text{ such that for } \\ all \ x \in X^{\mathbb{C}}, x^* \in \left(X^{\mathbb{C}} \right)^* \\ \mathfrak{F}r(\cdot, \rho, x, x^*) \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } \|\mathfrak{F}r(\cdot, \rho, x, x^*)\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq K_{\rho} \|x\| \|x^*\|, \\ where \ r(\cdot, \rho, x, x^*) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C} \text{ is defined as} \\ r(\nu, \rho, x, x^*) := x^* R(\rho + i\nu, A)x. \end{split}$$

Characterization of (α, β) dichotomy in $X^{\mathbb{C}}$

- ▷ Necessity of conditions follows directly from the Hille-Yosida theorem and the C_1 Laplace inversion formula applied to $A_{|\text{Im}\pi}$ and $A_{|\text{Ker}\pi}$.
- Sufficiency: Proved in two papers by Kaashoek, Lunel, Latushkin [J. Diff. Eq. 1992, Oper. Theor. Adv. Appl. 2001].
- The resolvent estimates are in sufficiently closed form so that the Fourier transforms can be estimated.
- Warning: Convergence of improper Fourier integrals only in Cesaro mean C₁, no absolute convergence.
- Tools:

$$\stackrel{1}{\sim} \frac{1^{C_1}}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\mathrm{i}\omega t} \mathfrak{F}^{-1} f(\omega) \, d\omega = \frac{f(t+)+f(t-)}{2}$$
,

▷ Wiener Algebra property of absolutely convergent Fourier series.

Theorem

Principle of linearized stability and center manifold theorem hold true for hyperbolic systems.

The results are applicable to large classes of practical problems:

- Stability and bifurcation analysis in Laser dynamics
- Model Reduction: Mode approximations [Bandelow, Wenzel, Wünsche 1993]
- Turing-Models with correlated random walk [Kac, Goldstein, Hadeler, Hillen, Horsthemke, ...]
- Boltzmann-systems
- Tubular reactor processes
- Systems of vibrating strings
- Differential equations with delay

▷ ..

The traveling wave model

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{v_g} \partial_t E^{\pm} &= (\mp \partial_z - i\beta(n)) E^{\pm} - i\kappa E^{\mp} - \frac{\overline{g}}{2} \left(E^{\pm} - P^{\pm} \right) \\ \partial_t P^{\pm} &= \overline{\gamma} \left(E^{\pm} - P^{\pm} \right) + i\overline{\omega} P^{\pm} \\ \partial_t n &= I - R(n) - v_g \mathfrak{Re} \langle E, g(n)E - \overline{g}(E - P) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2} \\ E^+(t, 0) &= r_0 E^-(t, 0) + \alpha(t), \quad E^-(t, l) = r_l E^+(t, l). \end{aligned}$$

- $\triangleright t \in \mathbb{R}$ time, $z \in [0, l]$ longitudinal coordinate
- $\triangleright E^{\pm} = E^{\pm}(t,z) \in \mathbb{C}$ complex envelope of optical field, $P^{\pm} = P^{\pm}(t,z) \in \mathbb{C}$ polarization, $n = n(t,z) \in \mathbb{R}$ carrier density
- \triangleright spontaneous recombination $R(n) = An + Bn^2 + Cn^3$
- \triangleright propagation coefficient $\beta(n) = \delta_0 i\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{i}{2}g(n) + \delta_N(n)$
- ▷ field gain $g(n) = G' \log \frac{n}{n_{tr}}$, effective index dependence $\delta_N(n) = -\sqrt{n'n}$, current injection I = I(t, z), optical injection $\alpha(t)$ at left facet of laser, reflection coeffecients r_0 and r_l
- all coefficients depend on lateral coordinate z

A two parameter numerical bifurcation analysis of the raveling wave

model (LDSL, M. Radziunas)



Current Work: The 2d diffraction extended traveling wave model

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{v_g} \partial_t E^{\pm} &= -i \frac{1}{2K} \partial_{xx} E^{\pm} + (\mp \partial_z - i\beta(n)) E^{\pm} - i\kappa E^{\mp} - \frac{\overline{g}}{2} \left(E^{\pm} - P^{\pm} \right) \\ \partial_t P^{\pm} &= \overline{\gamma} \left(E^{\pm} - P^{\pm} \right) + i\overline{\omega} P^{\pm} \\ \partial_t n &= d_n \partial_{xx} n + I - R(n) - v_g \Re \epsilon \langle E, g(n)E - \overline{g}(E - P) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$E^{+}(t,0,x) = r_{0}(x)E^{-}(t,0,x) + \alpha(t,x), \quad E^{-}(t,l,x) = r_{l}(x)E^{+}(t,l,x).$$

- \triangleright All coefficients now depend on longitudinal (z) and lateral (x) coordinate.
- ▷ In optical equation for *E* a diffraction operator has been addd (red).
- \triangleright In carrier equation for n a lateral diffusion operator has been added (blue).

Stable pulsating high power diode



Laser geometry and parameters provided by FBH.